United Nations A/56/424

General Assembly

Distr.: General
1 October 2001
English
Original: Arabic

Fifty-sixth session
Agenda item 166
Measures to eliminate international terrorism

Letter dated 1 October 2001 from the Permanent Representative of Saudi Arabia to the United Nations addressed to the Secretary-General

I have the honour to inform you that the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia has severed its diplomatic relations with the Taliban Government and that it has issued the following statement:

> The Kingdom of Saudi Arabia did everything in its power to support the fraternal Afghan people during the heroic jihad for independence that gave Afghanistan a special place in the hearts of those who advocate the right of peoples everywhere to freedom and independence. God ultimately decreed victory, and independence was restored to Afghanistan. Saudi Arabia now finds it regrettable that the Taliban Government has made use of Afghanistan's distinctive position not for the development of ties of brotherhood, for building and reconstruction or for the promotion of the exalted ideals that Islam represents but to offer its territory as a centre to attract, train and deploy numbers of the deceived of all nationalities, and particularly nationals of the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, in order to engage in criminal acts that violate every law and creed. It also persists in its refusal to hand these criminals over to justice. Despite all that has been happening, the Taliban Government is still using its territory to shelter, arm and encourage these criminals so that they may engage in terrorist operations that intimidate peaceful and innocent citizens and spread alarm and destruction in the world. This has harmed Islam and has marred the reputation of Muslims in the world.
>
> The Taliban Government has paid no heed to any of the contacts or endeavours made by the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia with a view to convincing it to desist from harbouring criminals and terrorists, from training and inciting them and from offering its territory as a shelter and refuge for them. It has not responded to any of the efforts made in this regard, most recently the contacts established with it by His Excellency President Pervez Musharraf of Pakistan. The Government of the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia therefore announces the severance of all its relations with the Taliban Government. In making this announcement, it affirms that it will continue to support the people of

01-55868 (E) 011001 011001

Afghanistan and to back all efforts to achieve security, stability and prosperity for the country.

I should be grateful if you would have this letter circulated as a document of the General Assembly under agenda item 166.

(*Signed*) Fawzi Bin Abdul Majeed **Shobokshi**
Ambassador
Permanent Representative



United Nations A/56/425

General Assembly

Distr.: General
2 October 2001

Original: English

Fifty-sixth session
Agenda item 77
The risk of nuclear proliferation in the Middle East

The risk of nuclear proliferation in the Middle East

Report of the Secretary-General*

1. At its fifty-fifth session, the General Assembly adopted resolution 55/36 of 20 November 2000, entitled "The risk of nuclear proliferation in the Middle East", in which the Assembly:

- Welcomed the conclusions on the Middle East of the 2000 Review Conference of the Parties to the Treaty on the Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons (para. 1);
- Reaffirmed the importance of Israel's accession to the Treaty on the Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons and placement of all its nuclear facilities under comprehensive International Atomic Energy Agency safeguards, in realizing the goal of universal adherence to the Treaty in the Middle East (para. 2);
- Called upon that State to accede to the Treaty on the Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons without further delay and not to develop, produce, test or otherwise acquire nuclear weapons, and to renounce possession of nuclear weapons, and to place all its unsafeguarded nuclear facilities under full-scope International Atomic Energy Agency safeguards as an important confidence-building measure among all States of the region and as a step towards enhancing peace and security (para. 3);
- Requested the Secretary-General to report to the General Assembly at its fifty-sixth session on the implementation of the resolution (para. 4).

2. The present report is being submitted pursuant to paragraph 4 of that resolution. Apart from the material forwarded by the International Atomic Energy Agency (see annex), the Secretary-General has not received any additional information since the submission of his most recent report on the subject (A/55/448) to the General Assembly at its fifty-fifth session.

* Finalization of the report was dependent on the outcome of the forty-fifth session of the General Conference of the International Atomic Energy Agency, 17 to 21 September 2001.

01-55995 (E) 081001

Annex

Application of International Atomic Energy Agency safeguards in the Middle East

Resolution GC(45)/RES/18 adopted by the General Conference of the International Atomic Energy Agency at its 10th plenary meeting, on 21 September 2001

The General Conference,

(a) Recognizing the importance of the non-proliferation of nuclear weapons - both globally and regionally - in enhancing international peace and security,

(b) Mindful of the usefulness of the Agency's safeguards system as a reliable means of verification of the peaceful uses of nuclear energy,

(c) Concerned by the grave consequences, endangering peace and security, of the presence in the Middle East region of nuclear activities not wholly devoted to peaceful purposes,

(d) Welcoming the initiatives regarding the establishment of a zone free of all weapons of mass destruction, including nuclear weapons, in the Middle East and recent initiatives regarding arms control in the region,

(e) Recognizing that full realization of these objectives would be promoted by the participation of all States of the region,

(f) Commending the efforts of the Agency concerning the application of safeguards in the Middle East and the positive response of some States in concluding a full-scope safeguards agreement, and

(g) Recalling its resolution GC(44)/RES/28,

1. Takes note of the Director General's report in document GOV/2001/36-GC(45)/19;

2. Affirms the urgent need for all States in the Middle East to forthwith accept the application of full-scope Agency safeguards to all their nuclear activities as an important confidence-building measure among all States in the region and as a step in enhancing peace and security in the context of the establishment of a nuclear-weapon-free zone (NWFZ);

3. Calls upon all parties directly concerned to consider seriously taking the practical and appropriate steps required for the implementation of the proposal to establish a mutually and effectively verifiable NWFZ in the region, and invites the countries

concerned to adhere to international non-proliferation regimes, including the Treaty on the Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons, as a means of complementing participation in a zone free of all weapons of mass destruction in the Middle East and of strengthening peace and security in the region;

4. Takes note of the importance of the ongoing bilateral Middle East peace negotiations and the activities of the multilateral working group on Arms Control and Regional Security in promoting mutual confidence and security in the Middle East, including the establishment of an NWFZ, and calls on the Director General, as requested by the participants, to render all necessary assistance to the working group in promoting that objective;

5. Requests the Director General to continue consultations with the States of the Middle East to facilitate the early application of full-scope Agency safeguards to all nuclear activities in the region as relevant to the preparation of model agreements, as a necessary step towards the establishment of an NWFZ in the region, referred to in resolution GC(XXXVII)/RES/627;

6. Calls upon all States in the region to extend their fullest co-operation to the Director General in the fulfilment of the tasks entrusted to him in the preceding paragraph;

7. Further calls upon all States in the region to take measures, including confidence-building and verification measures, aimed at establishing an NWFZ in the Middle East;

8. Calls upon all other States, especially those with a special responsibility for the maintenance of international peace and security, to render all assistance to the Director General by facilitating the implementation of this resolution; and

9. Requests the Director General to submit to the Board of Governors and to the General Conference at its forty-sixth regular session a report on the implementation of this resolution and to include in the provisional agenda for that session an item entitled "Application of IAEA safeguards in the Middle East".

United Nations A/56/426

General Assembly

Distr.: General
2 October 2001

Original: English

Fifty-sixth session
Agenda item 122
Programme budget for the biennium 2000-2001

Standards of accommodation for air travel

Report of the Secretary-General*

I. Introduction

1. The present report, covering the period from 1 July 2000 to 30 June 2001, is submitted in accordance with General Assembly decisions 44/442 of 21 December 1989 and 46/450 of 20 December 1991, in which the Assembly requested the Secretary-General to submit annual reports on standards of accommodation for air travel. As also requested by the Assembly in its decision 40/455 of 18 December 1985 and section XIII of its resolution 45/248 A of 21 December 1990, information is included on expenditures by the United Nations for first-class air travel and relevant exceptions.

2. During the period under review, standards of accommodation for air travel were governed by General Assembly resolution 42/214 of 21 December 1987, in which the Assembly decided that all individuals, with the exception of the Secretary-General and the heads of delegations of the least developed countries to the regular and special sessions of the Assembly, whose travel was financed by United Nations organizations and programmes and who had been previously entitled to first-class accommodation, would be required to travel at the class immediately below first class. In the same resolution, the Assembly authorized the Secretary-General to exercise his discretion in making exceptions to allow first-class travel on a case-by-case basis.

II. Delegation travel

3. The General Assembly, in its resolution 42/214, limited the payment of first-class accommodation by air to one representative of each Member State designated as a least developed country attending the regular and special sessions of the Assembly. For the fifty-fifth session, for the period from 1 July 2000 to 30 June 2001, claims submitted to date involved 27 such journeys at a cost of $160,867.

III. Exceptions authorized by the Secretary-General

4. As stated above, in General Assembly resolution 42/214, the Secretary-General was authorized to exercise his discretion in making exceptions to allow first-class air travel on a case-by-case basis. In addition, business-class travel by air may be authorized by the Secretary-General in certain circumstances, in accordance with administrative instruction ST/AI/2000/20 of 22 December 2000.

* Regarding the request contained in paragraph 1 of General Assembly resolution 54/248 C, it should be noted that this report could not be submitted by the deadline owing to the need to receive information from various departments and offices pertaining to the travel year ended 30 June 2001.

01-56167 (E) 181001

5. Generally, in reviewing cases that may warrant an exception, the Secretary-General takes into consideration the following circumstances:

(a) A medical condition may exist necessitating a level of comfort not available in the normal standard of accommodation to which the traveller is entitled. Such cases are referred to the Director of the Medical Services Division of the Secretariat for recommendation. It should be noted that the practice of granting exceptions in cases where a traveller is of an advanced age has been discontinued;

(b) The normal standard of accommodation is not available and, owing to the exigencies of the service, the traveller is required to travel on a particular date. Cases also arise where accommodation at the normal entitlement is not available and the cost of daily subsistence allowance, while waiting for the next available seat, would be greater than the higher standard of travel;

(c) The traveller is considered an eminent person, such as a former head of State, or a prominent figure in political, humanitarian or cultural areas;

(d) The traveller is a prominent person who is donating his or her services free of charge to the Organization, and, in some cases, at a loss of personal income. Such individuals may be accorded the standard of accommodation that they normally use when travelling;

(e) The traveller, after completing a full day of work, is required to travel through the night in order to resume work, attend meetings or present a paper at another location on the following morning or immediately upon arrival. Where such cases involve arduous journeys, it has been deemed reasonable that the standard of accommodation accorded to the traveller should provide the best rest possible while travelling, or provide the conditions most conducive to work while flying, or both.

6. In respect of exceptions to air travel authorized during the period from 1 July 2000 to 30 June 2001 owing to the circumstances described in paragraph 5 above, the present report provides, in annexes I to V, detailed information on the additional cost of and reasons for such exceptions.

7. On 18 March 1998, the Secretary-General decided, as an exception, that all travel of the Deputy Secretary-General should be in first class, in keeping with her special status. As regards practical arrangements, efforts first are made to obtain upgrades to first class against payment of the full business-class fare. Where this is not feasible, the first-class fare is paid. During the reporting period, two such journeys were carried out at a total additional cost of $3,211. Details of such travel are provided in annex VI to this report.

8. To strengthen the ability of the President of the General Assembly to effectively and efficiently discharge the responsibilities of his Office, the Assembly, by its resolution 53/214, decided that the President, consistent with the approved programme budget, should have full authority to use the funds provided for the Office. Based on that authority, the President of the fifty-fourth session of the Assembly undertook travel in first class. During the reporting period, one such journey was carried out at a total additional cost of $4,300. Details of such travel are provided in annex VII to this report.

9. Altogether, for travel during the period from 1 July 2000 to 30 June 2001, the Secretary-General exercised his discretion by authorizing, as an exception, 37 cases of first-class air travel. In addition, during the same period, the Secretary-General authorized business-class travel by air as an exception to the standards of accommodation in 30 cases.

10. It should be noted that, in accordance with the established practice to ensure personal safety, the Secretary-General was accompanied on all trips during the period under review by a personal aide/security officer who travelled by air in first class. The total additional cost of 11 such trips was $37,559.

11. While continuous administrative oversight has kept the number of exceptions at a minimum, it should be noted that in certain cases they are unavoidable. For example, exceptions owing to the lack of availability of regular standards are dictated by the exigencies of specific situations and their number cannot therefore be kept constant or be forced to decrease without hampering the efficiency of the traveller's missions. Moreover, in many cases, to compel a traveller to wait until the established standard of travel becomes available would result in extra cost to the Organization for the daily subsistence allowance over and above the cost of an upgrade; an upgrade therefore remains the only cost-effective option.

12. In the context of monitoring adherence to the standards of accommodation for air travel, cases were identified in which air travel was undertaken at a standard of accommodation higher than normal entitlement, for which no exception had been sought. In such cases, subsequent ex post facto approval was sought for an exception to the standards of accommodation. The details of such ex post facto exceptions authorized by the Secretary-General for the previous reporting period are outlined in annex VIII to this report.

Table 1
Summary of exceptions, 1 July 2000-30 June 2001
(Cost in United States dollars)

	First class		*Business class*		*Total*	
Reasons for exceptions	*Number of trips*	*Additional cost*	*Number of trips*	*Additional cost*	*Number of trips*	*Additional cost*
Medical condition	5	8 707	12	18 381	17	27 088
Regular standard of accommodation not available	3	336	5	818	8	1 154
Eminent persons	8	11 980	12	23 802	20	35 782
Donating services free of charge to the Organization	7	15 705	0	0	7	15 705
Arduous journeys	0	0	1	292	1	292
Personal aides/security officer	11	37 559	0	0	11	37 559
Deputy Secretary-General	2	3 211	0	0	2	3 211
President of the General Assembly	1	4 300	0	0	1	4 300
Total	**37**	**81 798**	**30**	**43 293**	**67**	**125 091**

Table 2
Summary of exceptions, 1 July 1999-30 June 2000*
(Cost in United States dollars)

	First class		*Business class*		*Total*	
Reasons for exceptions	*Number of trips*	*Additional cost*	*Number of trips*	*Additional cost*	*Number of trips*	*Additional cost*
Medical condition	5	6 119	2	1 973	7	8 092
Regular standard of accommodation not available	7	1 886	6	1 284	13	3 170
Eminent persons	2	4 628	8	10 503	10	15 131
Donating services free of charge to the Organization	2	2 824	8	8 914	10	11 738
Arduous journeys	0	0	7	3 634	7	3 634
Personal aides/security officer	13	55 662	0	0	13	55 662
Deputy Secretary-General	10	26 783	0	0	10	26 783
President of the General Assembly	8	30 561	0	0	8	30 561
Total	**47**	**128 463**	**31**	**26 308**	**78**	**154 771**

* Adjusted for ex post facto authorizations that related to the previous reporting period but were not included in the previous report (A/55/488).

Annex I

Exceptions authorized owing to medical conditions, 1 July 2000-30 June 2001

	Designation of traveller	*Itinerary*	*Additional cost (US dollars)*
A.	**First class**		
1.	Prosecutor, International Tribunal for the Former Yugoslavia	The Hague/Washington, D.C./New York/The Hague	2 411
2.	Prosecutor, International Tribunal for the Former Yugoslavia	The Hague/Washington, D.C./Dayton/New York/The Hague	2 123
3.	Prosecutor, International Tribunal for the Former Yugoslavia	The Hague/Washington, D.C./New York/The Hague	1 325
4.	Executive Chairman, United Nations Monitoring, Verification and Inspection Commission	New York/Tokyo/Beijing/Tokyo/Singapore/Kuala Lumpur/Bangkok/Bahrain/London/New York	1 400
5.	Executive Director, United Nations Environment Programme	Frankfurt/Tokyo	1 448
	Subtotal		**8 707**
B.	**Business class**		
1.	Staff member, Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs	New York/Geneva	1 073
2.	Staff member, United Nations Mine Action Service, Department of Peacekeeping Operations	Geneva/New York	339
3.	Staff member, Economic Commission for Europe	Geneva/New York/Geneva	339
4.	Consultant, United Nations Conference on Trade and Development	London/Cairo/London	1 508
5.	Staff member, Department of Economic and Social Affairs	New York/Geneva/New York	804
6.	Participant, preparatory meetings for Third United Nations Conference on the Least Developed Countries	New York/Geneva/New York	3 751
7.	Staff member, Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR)	Geneva/Moscow/Geneva	245
8.	Staff member, UNHCR	Geneva/Amman/Geneva	659
9.	Senior Consultant, United Nations Development Programme (UNDP)	London/New York/London	4 116
10.	Peacekeeper, United Nations Transitional Authority in East Timor	Darwin/Lisbon	669
11.	Consultant, UNDP	London/Dakar/Accra/New York/London	2 093
12.	Consultant, UNDP	Boston/Geneva/Boston	2 785
	Subtotal		**18 381**
	Total		**27 088**

Annex II

Exceptions authorized when the regular standard of accommodation was not available, 1 July 2000-30 June 2001

	Designation of traveller	*Itinerary*[a]	*Additional cost (US dollars)*
A.	**First class**		
1.	Staff member, United Nations Development Programme (UNDP)	.../Tunis/Amman/...	128
2.	Staff member, Department of Economic and Social Affairs	Antigua/Jamaica/Antigua	104
3.	Staff member, Department of Economic and Social Affairs	Antigua/Jamaica/Antigua	104
	Subtotal		**336**
B.	**Business class**		
1.	Staff member, Economic and Social Commission for Asia and the Pacific	Bangkok/Paro/...	67
2.	Staff member, Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean	.../Buenos Aires/Santiago	211
3.	Staff member, UNDP	New York/Abidjan/Brazzaville/...	400
4.	Deputy Minister of Trade and Economy, Tajikistan	Dushanbe/Moscow/...	70
5.	Senior official, Ministry of Trade and Economy, Tajikistan	Dushanbe/Moscow/...	70
	Subtotal		**818**
	Total		**1 154**

[a] The points of ellipses in a given itinerary indicate that some legs of the itinerary were by the regular standard of accommodation and no exception was involved.

Annex III

Exceptions authorized for eminent persons, 1 July 2000-30 June 2001

	Designation of traveller	*Itinerary*[a]	*Additional cost (US dollars)*
A.	**First class**		
	Meeting of the Inter-Action Council of former heads of government: High-level Expert Group Meeting on Pluralism and Global Governance		
1.	Former President of Costa Rica	San Jose/Boston/San Jose	89
2.	Former Prime Minister of Jordan	Amman/Boston/Amman	1 942
	Meeting of the Inter-Action Council of former heads of government: High-level Expert Group Meeting on East Asia and the Pacific in the twenty-first century: Geopolitical and Economic Dimensions		
3.	Former President of Indonesia	.../Frankfurt/Seoul/Frankfurt/...	3 532
4.	Former Prime Minister of Australia	Melbourne/Singapore/Seoul/Singapore/ Melbourne	974
	Panel of Eminent Persons on Financing for Development		
5.	Former President of Mexico	Mexico City/New York/Mexico City	858
6.	Former President of Mexico	Mexico City/New York/Mexico City	1 272
7.	Former President of Mexico	Mexico City/New York/Mexico City	1 506
	Security Council meeting on the Burundi peace process		
8.	Former President of South Africa	Johannesburg/New York/Johannesburg	1 807
	Subtotal		**11 980**
B.	**Business class**		
	Interview with the Secretary-General		
1.	Former Prime Minister, Côte d'Ivoire	Paris/New York/Paris	2 842
	International media encounter on the question of Palestine		
2.	Permanent Observer of Palestine to the United Nations	New York/Paris/New York	4 596
3.	Permanent Representative of Senegal to the United Nations and Chairman of the Committee on the Exercise of the Inalienable Rights of the Palestinian People	New York/Paris/New York	4 596
4.	Minister for Planning and International Cooperation for the Palestinian Authority	Amman/Paris/Amman	282
5.	Former Permanent Observer of the League of Arab States to the United Nations	Washington, D.C./Paris/Washington, D.C.	4 229
6.	Former Director of Near-East Affairs, National Security Council of the United States of America	Washington, D.C./Paris/Washington, D.C.	4 229
	Regional round table for Africa in preparation for the World Summit on Sustainable Development		
7.	Former Prime Minister of the United Republic of Tanzania	Dar-es-Salaam/Cairo/Dar-es-Salaam	310
8.	Secretary-General of the World Meteorological Organization	Geneva/Cairo/Geneva	33

	Designation of traveller	*Itinerary*[a]	*Additional cost (US dollars)*
	Regional round table for Latin America and the Caribbean in preparation for the World Summit on Sustainable Development		
9.	Former President of Panama	New York/Barbados/New York	806
	Meetings in connection with the appointment of the Special Representative of the Secretary-General and Head of United Nations Mission in Kosovo		
10.	Defence Minister of Denmark	Copenhagen/New York/Copenhagen	817
	African Development Forum		
11.	Former President of Zambia	London/Addis Ababa/London	582
12.	Former First Lady of South Africa	Johannesburg/Addis Ababa/Johannesburg	480
	Subtotal		**23 802**
	Total		**35 782**

[a] The points of ellipses in a given itinerary indicate that some legs of the itinerary were by the regular standard of accommodation and no exception was involved.

Annex IV

Exceptions authorized for prominent persons donating their services free of charge to the Organization, 1 July 2000-30 June 2001

	Designation of traveller	*Itinerary*	*Additional cost (US dollars)*
A.	**First class**		
	Consultations on Western Sahara		
1.	Personal Envoy of the Secretary-General for Western Sahara	Berlin/Houston	2 298
2.	Personal Envoy of the Secretary-General for Western Sahara	Houston/New York/Houston	1 361
	Campaign against HIV/AIDS		
3.	Goodwill Ambassador of the United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF)	New York/London/Johannesburg/London/New York	1 859
4.	Spouse of UNICEF Goodwill Ambassador	New York/London/Johannesburg/London/New York	1 859
	Public awareness and fund-raising activities for the United Nations Children's Fund		
5.	UNICEF Goodwill Ambassador	Nice/Frankfurt/Jakarta/Denpasar/Tokyo/Los Angeles/Nice	2 614
6.	UNICEF Goodwill Ambassador	Nice/Frankfurt/Jakarta/Denpasar/Tokyo/Los Angeles/Nice	2 624
	Public awareness activities for UNICEF's work in early childhood development		
7.	UNICEF Special Representative	New York/London/Nairobi/London/New York	3 100
	Subtotal		**15 705**
B.	**Business class**		
	None		–
	Subtotal		–
	Total		**15 705**

Annex V

Exceptions authorized for cases involving arduous journey, 1 July 2000-30 June 2001

	Designation of traveller	*Itinerary*	*Additional cost (US dollars)*
A.	**First class**		
	None		–
	Subtotal		–
B.	**Business class**		
1.	Staff member, United Nations Development Programme	Copenhagen/New York/Copenhagen	292
	Subtotal		**292**
	Total		**292**

Annex VI

Exceptions relating to the travel of the Deputy Secretary-General, 1 July 2000-30 June 2001

Designation of traveller		*Itinerary*	*Additional cost (US dollars)*
A.	**First class**		
1.	Deputy Secretary-General	New York/Stockholm/New York	1 544
2.	Deputy Secretary-General	New York/Petra/New York	1 667
	Total		**3 211**

Annex VII

Exceptions relating to the travel of the President of the General Assembly, 1 July 2000-30 June 2001

Designation of traveller		*Itinerary*	*Additional cost (US dollars)*
A.	**First class**		
1.	President of the fifty-fourth session of the General Assembly	New York/Windhoek/New Delhi/Paris/Algiers/Tunis/Djerba/Tripoli/Rome/New York	4 300
	Total		**4 300**

Annex VIII

Exceptions relating to the previous reporting period, 1 July 1999-30 June 2000

	Designation of traveller	*Itinerary*[a]	*Additional cost (US dollars)*
A.	**First class**		
	Regular standard of accommodation not available		
1.	Administrator, United Nations Development Programme (UNDP)	... Geneva/Algiers	124
	Subtotal		**124**
B.	**Business class**		
	Arduous journey		
1.	Staff members, UNDP	... Geneva/New York	1 418
	Subtotal		**1 418**
	Total		**1 542**

[a] The points of ellipses in a given itinerary indicate that some legs of the itinerary were by the regular standard of accommodation and no exception was involved.

United Nations A/56/427

General Assembly

Distr.: General
10 October 2001

Original: English

Fifty-sixth session
Agenda item 95 (a)
Macroeconomic policy questions: trade and development

Specific actions related to the particular needs and problems of landlocked developing countries

Note by the Secretary-General*

1. The General Assembly, in its resolution 54/199 of 22 December 1999, requested the Secretary-General, together with the Secretary-General of the United Nations Conference on Trade and Development, to prepare a report on the implementation of that resolution and submit it to the Trade and Development Board and to the General Assembly at its fifty-sixth session.

2. Pursuant to that request, the Secretary-General has the honour to transmit the above-mentioned report to the General Assembly.

* The footnote requested by the General Assembly in resolution 54/248 was not included in the submission.

01-56227 (E) 251001

Specific actions related to the particular needs and problems of landlocked developing countries

Progress report of the secretariat of the United Nations Conference on Trade and Development

Contents

		Paragraphs	Page
I.	Introduction	1–5	3
II.	Agreed conclusion and recommendations of the fifth Meeting of Governmental Experts from Landlocked and Transit Developing Countries and Representatives of Donor Countries and Financial and Development Institutions, held at Headquarters from 30 July to 3 August 2001	6–29	4
	A. Transport costs, export performance and sustainable development	6–9	4
	B. Infrastructure development	10–13	4
	C. Trade and transit facilitation designed to reduce costs and improve the efficiency of transit operations	14–19	6
	D. Follow-up actions	20–29	7
III.	Activities of the United Nations Conference on Trade and Development	30–52	8
	A. Review of progress in the development of transit systems in landlocked and transit developing countries	30–40	8
	B. Technical cooperation activities	41–52	11
Annex			
	Transit systems of landlocked and transit developing countries: recent developments and proposals for future action — Report of the UNCTAD secretariat		15

I. Introduction

1. In paragraph 16 of its resolution 54/199, the General Assembly requested the Secretary-General of the United Nations, in consultation with the Secretary-General of the United Nations Conference on Trade and Development (UNCTAD), to prepare a report on the implementation of the resolution and submit it to the Trade and Development Board at its forty-eighth session and to the General Assembly at its fifty-sixth session. This report has been prepared in response to that request.

2. In paragraph 2 of the same resolution, the General Assembly welcomed the agreed conclusions and recommendations adopted at the fourth Meeting of Governmental Experts from Landlocked and Transit Developing Countries and Representatives of Donor Countries and Financial and Development Institutions, held in New York from 24 to 26 August 1999. In paragraphs 11 and 12 of the resolution, the General Assembly requested the Secretary-General of the United Nations to convene in 2001 another meeting of governmental experts from landlocked and transit developing countries and representatives of donor countries and financial and development institutions, to review progress in the development of transit systems in landlocked and transit developing countries, and to consider a proposal made at the fourth meeting in 1999 regarding the convening in 2003 of a ministerial meeting on transit transport issues so as to give appropriate emphasis to the problems of landlocked and transit developing countries. The fifth meeting was held under the auspices of UNCTAD from 30 July to 3 August 2001 at United Nations Headquarters in New York. The agreed conclusion and recommendations of that meeting are contained in section II below.

3. In paragraph 14 of the resolution, the General Assembly noted with appreciation the contribution of UNCTAD in the formulation of international measures to deal with the special problems of landlocked developing countries, and urged UNCTAD, among other things, to keep under constant review the evolution of transit transport infrastructure facilities, institutions and services, to monitor the implementation of agreed measures, to collaborate in all relevant initiatives, including those of the private sector and non-governmental organizations, and to serve as a focal point on cross-regional issues of interest to landlocked developing countries. In paragraph 15, the General Assembly invited the Secretary-General of UNCTAD to take appropriate measures for the effective implementation of the activities called for in the resolution. The recent activities of UNCTAD on behalf of landlocked developing countries in this regard are highlighted in section III of this report.

4. In paragraph 5 of the resolution, the General Assembly invited landlocked developing countries and their transit neighbours to implement their cooperative measures to strengthen further arrangements. Paragraph 10 of the resolution invited donor countries, the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP) and multilateral financial institutions to provide these countries with appropriate financial and technical assistance.

5. In paragraph 13, the Secretary-General of UNCTAD was requested to seek voluntary contributions to ensure the participation of representatives of landlocked and transit developing countries in the fifth meeting of Governmental Experts from landlocked and transit developing countries and representatives of donor countries and financial and development institutions. He appealed to donor countries and

relevant financial institutions to provide financial assistance for the above purpose. In response to his request, the Government of Japan made a generous financial contribution which made it possible for experts from the capitals of landlocked developing countries and resource persons to participate in the meeting.

II. Agreed conclusion and recommendations of the fifth Meeting of Governmental Experts from Landlocked and Transit Developing Countries and Representatives of Donor Countries and Financial and Development Institutions

Held at Headquarters from 30 July to 3 August 2001

A. Transport costs, export performance and sustainable development

6. Despite some positive developments in transit transport systems, lack of territorial access to the sea, aggravated by remoteness and isolation from world markets and high transit costs and risks, continue to impose serious constraints on the overall socio-economic development of landlocked developing countries. They have not been able to take full benefit of the new trade and investment opportunities offered by the process of liberalization and globalization. They need greater assistance to enable them to integrate effectively and beneficially into the global economy.

7. Most transit countries are themselves developing countries facing serious economic problems, including the lack of adequate transit transport infrastructure.

8. The Meeting underlined the importance of transport for the development process in general and for the promotion of national, regional and international trade in particular which significantly contribute to the goal of eradication of poverty. Weak infrastructure, and inappropriate policy environments lead to inefficient transport services that engender high transport costs, which are a major impediment to trade expansion, competitiveness, and hence sustainable development in many landlocked and transit developing countries. The development of a coherent, national, regional and international transport network, combined with efficient transport services, are essential for stimulating economic activity, opening up productive areas in individual countries and linking them to national, regional and international markets.

9. Governments should give high priority to the transport sector by formulating and strengthening their policies to attract investment in infrastructure and related services. In this context, private sector participation has an important role in improving the quality of transport and transit services including through a public-private partnership framework.

B. Infrastructure development

10. An effective regulatory framework and the establishment of a conducive environment are a prerequisite for infrastructure development. Development of infrastructure, including the upgrading of existing assets, requires a degree of

financing exceeding the means of landlocked and transit developing countries. The decline of official development assistance (ODA), and inadequate private financing have negatively affected transit systems not only in landlocked developing countries but also in transit countries as most of them are either developing or least developed countries also in need of external financing. There is a need for increased ODA and private investment.

11. Regional cooperation and integration involving landlocked and transit developing countries can be an asset through pooling limited resources and achieving needed economies of scale. There are a variety of regional cooperation initiatives, such as the trade and transport facilitation project in South-East Europe, and the Development Corridor and Spatial Development Initiative (SDI), which has taken root in southern Africa. SDI places transport in general and transit transport in particular in a broader socio-economic context that views transport from a holistic perspective. It recognizes the interdependence of transport with other sectors. For example, tourism and agriculture or mining cannot flourish without an adequate transport system and, in turn, a transit system is there to serve needs of other economic sectors and its sustainability depends on demands derived from those sectors. Hence there is a need to attract investment, in a more coordinated manner, across a wide range of activities and programmes including transport infrastructure and related projects.

12. Decisions concerning transport development projects should be taken in consultations, as appropriate, with relevant stakeholders. In order to ensure suitable and efficient investment allocation for infrastructure development, it is vital for local and national authorities to exercise true ownership over such programmes and projects.

13. In conclusion, the Meeting called for:

(i) A reversal of the current downward trend of ODA and private financing for transport development in landlocked and transit developing countries;

(ii) Provision of financial and technical assistance by donor countries, UNDP and multilateral financial and development institutions to landlocked and transit developing countries in the form of grants or concessional loans for the construction, maintenance and improvement of their transport, storage and other transit-related facilities;

(iii) The acceleration of reforms in the transport sector and other related services, including support for the liberalization of the transport sector as well as the greater involvement of the private sector in providing transport services;

(iv) Greater involvement of relevant stakeholders in the planning and selection of infrastructure projects;

(v) Specific financing for the missing elements of transit corridors;

(vi) The establishment of regional initiatives to support multi-country projects and advanced regional integration;

(vii) The improvement of the management of infrastructure services by promoting business discipline and by establishing competitive markets;

(viii) A holistic approach to transport, taking into account physical, policy, procedural and institutional considerations.

C. Trade and transit facilitation designed to reduce costs and improve the efficiency of transit operations

14. Transit procedures must be coordinated with physical movement to ensure the speedy passage of goods through transit countries. Complex formalities, multiple documentation requirements, and inter-country variation in procedures and documentation are all important sources of additional and avoidable costs and inefficiency in transit transport. Facilitation measures, which aim at simplifying, rationalizing and harmonizing procedures, provide a means of improving the quality of transit and of eliminating many avoidable costs in transit systems, particularly indirect transit costs, such as administrative expenses incurred in organizing transit operations, delays in delivery and inventory costs.

15. International conventions and regional and bilateral agreements ratified by Governments are the main vehicles through which harmonization, simplification and standardization of rules and documentation can be achieved. Many landlocked and transit developing countries have taken measures to strengthen their transit transport cooperative arrangements. The international community has played an important role in supporting the normative law and systems reform process but greater financial and technical assistance is required to help landlocked and transit developing countries to effectively implement their cooperative agreements and arrangements.

16. Access to and from the sea should be in accordance with bilateral, regional and international agreements and conventions.

17. Many landlocked and transit developing countries have also accepted that the changing structure of transit trade result in demands for more efficient institutional arrangements at the national and regional level. An important manifestation of these changes has been increased participation of the private sector. Although public-private sector partnership is on the rise, this process is still in its formative stage and requires support from the international community before it can become widespread.

18. The changing nature of transit trade requires the enhancement of the knowledge and skills of those involved in policy-making and regulatory functions as well as those responsible for day-to-day operations, through training, including continuing education for existing professionals. Regional transit transport cooperation involving adoption of common rules and technical standards require Governments and the private sector to introduce new measures and actions designed to implement the various commitments.

19. In conclusion, the Meeting called for:

(i) Enhancing and accelerating the implementation of transit transport cooperation through bilateral and/or regional agreements and arrangements in line with international conventions;

(ii) The elimination of non-physical barriers which compromise the competitiveness of trade to and from landlocked and transit countries;

(iii) The reduction of high tariffs in accordance with bilateral, regional and multilateral agreements;

(iv) Establishment and/or strengthening of trade and professional associations at the national and regional levels;

(v) Promoting and ensuring the implementation of regional initiatives on trade facilitation and transit transport systems contributing to regional integration in accordance with bilateral, regional and international agreements and conventions;

(vi) Strengthening the capacities of Governments and related agencies to effectively carry out further simplification, harmonization, standardization of transit procedures and documentation, including the improvement of customs transit systems through strategic planning, monitoring policy and regulatory reforms;

(vii) Promotion of consultation and dialogue, in accordance with bilateral and regional agreements between transit operators in the public and private sectors at the national and regional levels with the objectives of solving transit and other related operational problems;

(viii) Provision of grants and concessional financing for human capacity-building at the policy, regulatory and operational levels.

D. Follow-up actions

20. While landlocked and transit developing countries bear the primary responsibility to implement measures designed to strengthen their cooperative and collaborative efforts, the international community, including financial and development institutions, should provide more support to enable these countries to deal effectively with their transit transport problems and requirements.

21. Many landlocked and transit developing countries have concluded bilateral and regional agreements and are making efforts to implement them. The international community should provide technical and financial support to accelerate the implementation of these agreements and arrangements.

22. Regulatory and institutional reforms undertaken to modernize and improve the efficient working of transit transport systems at the national and regional levels should be maintained by the landlocked and transit developing countries concerned. The international community should support these efforts.

23. Human capacity-building for both the public and private sectors should be encouraged. Development partners should encourage foreign direct investment to contribute to the upgrading of professional and managerial skills of the private sector in landlocked and transit developing countries. ODA should support public sector capacity-building in order to promote economic development.

24. UNCTAD should assist in accordance with its mandate the implementation of the above recommendations and the Global Framework for Transit Transport Cooperation between Landlocked and Transit Developing Countries and the Donor Community.

25. UNCTAD's technical cooperation programmes, including the Automated System for Customs Data (ASYCUDA), and the Advance Cargo Information System (ACIS), which had made important contributions to the improvement of transit transport in landlocked developing countries and their transit neighbours, should continue to accord due priority to this group of countries, particularly to regions that have not yet benefited from such programmes. UNCTAD should also undertake new

specific case studies, at the request of concerned States, on issues relating to transport organization in landlocked and transit developing countries and report thereon to the next Meeting.

26. The international community, including donor countries and financial and development institutions, should strengthen its support for national, bilateral and subregional infrastructure and transit transport projects and programmes, including through the provision of adequate financial and technical support to one-stop border posts, as well as through improving current financing modalities and introduction of new facilities, such as co-financing, bank-to-bank loans, guaranties.

27. The Meeting recommended that an International Ministerial Meeting of Landlocked and Transit Developing Countries and Donor Countries and Representatives of International Financial and Development Institutions on Transit Transport Cooperation be convened in 2003 in order to give emphasis to the development of efficient transit transport systems. The UNCTAD secretariat, in cooperation with the World Bank, regional development banks and other relevant international and regional organizations, is requested to provide substantive and organizational preparations for the Meeting. The necessary preparations should be undertaken at the subregional and regional levels with the appropriate involvement of all major stakeholders, including the private sector. In this context, the Meeting welcomed the generous offer made by the Government of Kazakhstan to host the Meeting.

28. The Meeting noted with deep appreciation the generous financial contribution made by the Government of Japan to the organization of the current Meeting. This had made possible the participation of national experts from landlocked developing countries and resource persons, which contributed significantly to the quality of the deliberations and the outcome of the Meeting.

29. The Meeting took note with appreciation of the substantive inputs and support by UNCTAD and the World Bank.

III. Activities of the United Nations Conference on Trade and Development

A. Review of progress in the development of transit systems in landlocked and transit developing countries

30. In order to facilitate a review of progress in the development of transit systems of landlocked and transit developing countries, UNCTAD undertook analytical studies to highlight recent developments in the transit sector and made proposals for the way forward. Studies were submitted to the following intergovernmental meetings:

- The fifty-fifth session of the General Assembly, September 2000;[1]

[1] Transit environment in the landlocked States in Central Asia and their transit developing neighbours (A/55/320).

- The Third United Nations Conference on the Least Developed Countries, May 2000;[2]
- The fifth Meeting of Governmental Experts from Landlocked and Transit Developing Countries and Representatives of Donor Countries and Financial and Development Institutions, July 2001;[3]
- The forty-eighth session of the Trade and Development Board, October 2001;[4]
- The fifty-sixth session of the General Assembly, September 2001.[5]

31. The studies underline the importance of transport for the development process in general and for the promotion of national, regional and international trade in particular. The analytical studies confirmed that weak infrastructures and inefficient transit operations result in high transport costs and are major impediments to trade expansion and sustainable development in many landlocked and transit developing countries. The studies point out that development of a coherent rural, national and international transport network is essential for stimulating economic activity, opening up productive areas in individual countries and linking them to national, regional and international markets.

32. Data used in the studies show that while the post-Uruguay Round most-favoured-nation (MFN) tariffs for the major developed markets (United States, Canada, European Union and Japan) is approximately 3.7 per cent, the average cost of transport for landlocked developing countries' exports is three times greater (approximately 14.1 per cent) and double the cost of transport for developing countries as a group (about 8.6 per cent). Landlocked developing countries are also negatively affected by the high cost of their imports. A rough measure of transit cost disadvantages faced by landlocked developing countries is provided by balance-of-payments statistics which show freight costs as a proportion of cost, insurance and freight (c.i.f.) import values. In 1995, freight costs were approximately 4.4 per cent of the c.i.f. import values of developing countries. But for landlocked developing countries in West Africa they were approximately 24.6 per cent; in East Africa, approximately 16.7 per cent; and in Latin America, approximately 14.6 per cent. The freight costs of the sample of landlocked countries exceeded the freight costs of all countries on their respective continents by between 6 and 11 percentage points.[6]

33. Furthermore, with increased competition in major markets forcing business to adapt to just-in-time production and management systems, flexibility, speed and reliability regarding the delivery of goods also assumed significant strategic importance: flexibility, because transport logistics must be capable of adapting to

---

[2] Concept paper on transport.

[3] Transit systems of landlocked and transit developing countries: recent developments and proposals for future action (TD/B/LDC/AC.1/17); Review progress in the development of transit transport systems in South-East Asia (UNCTAD/LDC/110); Infrastructure development in landlocked and transit developing countries: Foreign aid, private investment and transport cost burden of landlocked developing countries (UNCTAD/LDC/112); Review of recent progress in the development of transit transport systems in Latin America (UNCTAD/LDC/114); Review of progress in the development of transit systems in Eastern and Southern Africa (UNCTAD/LDC/115).

[4] Specific actions related to the particular needs and problems of landlocked developing countries (TD/B/48/13).

[5] The present report.

[6] See the annex to the present report.

variations in consumer demand and to unforeseen circumstances; speed, because the speed with which transport operations are carried out reduces the duration for tying up products — and therefore capital; and reliability, because it reduces the risks of breakdown in the supply or distribution of goods, and therefore reduces the need for safety/buffer stocks.

34. Lack of territorial access to the sea, aggravated by remoteness and isolation from world markets and high transit costs and risks, continues to impose serious constraints on the overall socio-economic development of landlocked developing countries. They have not been able to take full benefit of the new trade and investment opportunities offered by the process of liberalization. They need greater assistance to enable them to integrate effectively and beneficially into the global economy.

35. An effective strategy to improve transit systems requires, first and foremost, action at the subregional level because cooperation between landlocked countries and their transit neighbours is pivotal for the effective solutions of many transit problems. This cooperation to be sustainable must be promoted on the basis of the mutual interest of both landlocked and transit countries. Action to improve transit systems should not simply focus on cargo movement, but should have as its objective the development of efficient, flexible and well-managed transit systems geared to meeting the needs of exporters and importers from landlocked and transit developing countries.

36. Effective regulatory frameworks and establishment of a conducive environment are prerequisites for infrastructure development. During the 1990s overall official development assistance flows to infrastructure projects in developing countries fell by half, largely in response to the dramatic increase in private flows. In a few large developing countries, and particularly for the telecommunication and energy sectors, private capital flows more than compensated for loss in ODA, but for the majority of landlocked and transit developing countries the decline in ODA was not offset by private capital flows. For these countries, a substantial deficit persists, particularly when projected population growth and accelerating urbanization are taken into account. Moreover, a substantial increase in investment is required in these countries just to maintain the status quo. Development of infrastructure, including the upgrading of existing assets, requires a degree of financing exceeding the means of many landlocked and transit developing countries. The World Bank has estimated that Africa alone needs $18 billion to be invested in infrastructure on an annual basis. There is therefore a need to reverse the decline in external financing, especially ODA.

37. The studies also emphasize the need to address non-physical barriers which compromise the competitiveness of landlocked and transit countries, the reduction of high freight tariffs and action to create and strengthen trade and professional transport associations at the national and regional levels. For this purpose, the capacities of Governments and regional institutions to undertake reforms, including further simplification, harmonization and standardization of transit procedures and documentation, should be strengthened.

38. Many landlocked and transit developing countries have also accepted that the changing structure of transit trade results in demands for more efficient institutional arrangements at the national and regional level. An important manifestation of these changes has been the increased participation of the private sector. Although public-

private sector partnership is on the rise, the process is still in its formative stage and requires support from the international community before it can become widespread.

39. Moreover, the changing nature of transit trade requires the enhancement of knowledge and skills of those involved in policy-making, regulatory functions as well as those responsible for day-to-day operations, through training, including continuing education for existing professionals. Regional transit transport cooperation, involving adoption of common rules and technical standards, requires Governments and the private sector to introduce new measures and actions designed to implement the various commitments.

40. In conclusion, the experts stressed that while landlocked and transit developing countries bear the primary responsibility for implementing the measures recommended, the international community should support national, bilateral and subregional infrastructure and transit transport projects, including one-stop border posts. To that end, the international community should improve current financing modalities and introduce new facilities, such as co-financing, bank-to-bank loans and guarantees.

B. Technical cooperation activities

1. Assistance in drafting or negotiating bilateral or regional transit transport agreements or arrangements

41. UNCTAD provided substantive and organizational support to the first and second negotiating meetings on the draft Transit Traffic Framework Agreement (TTFA) between China, Mongolia and the Russian Federation, held in May 2000 and January 2001, respectively. A third meeting is scheduled for October 2001.

42. The TTFA would lay the basis of a solid subregional regulatory framework that would make transit operations in North-East Asia more efficient and cost-effective, thus promoting the external trade of the subregion and bolstering its economic growth. The TTFA is defined as a framework agreement because it foresees the negotiation of annexes, which would form an integral part of TTFA. As indicated in the relevant articles, such as articles 7 and 8, the annexes would be negotiated and agreed at a later stage, and will address technical issues such as customs procedures, vehicle dimensions and maximum vehicle weights.

43. Concerned Governments have on various occasions expressed their appreciation for UNCTAD's support. In a letter addressed to the Secretary-General of UNCTAD by the UNDP Administrator (May 2001), the Administrator singled out the above-mentioned negotiations as "one of the most successful projects" financed by UNDP.

2. Consultative meeting between landlocked developing countries and their transit neighbours

44. At the request of the Lao People's Democratic Republic, UNCTAD organized a Consultative Meeting Group in Vientiane in December 2000 between that country and its transit neighbours as well as representatives of donor countries and financial and development institutions. The meeting deliberations were facilitated by a background document prepared by UNCTAD entitled "Review of progress in the development of transit transport systems in South-East Asia" (UNCTAD/LDC/110).

The meeting adopted a Plan of Action for improving the transit systems of the Lao People's Democratic Republic (see UNCTAD/LDC/Misc.53). Meeting participants expressed appreciation to UNDP and UNCTAD for sponsoring such a consultative group.

3. Information technologies designed to enhance the effectiveness of transit systems

(a) Customs transit

45. Lack of effective control over transport equipment and cargo in transit undermines transit transport arrangements. Against this background an expert meeting was convened under the auspices of the Commission of Enterprise, Business Facilitation and Development in 1997. The meeting requested UNCTAD to develop a computerized system for transit procedures. As a result of this expert meeting, a new module of the ASYCUDA++ software was developed, in 1999, to manage customs transit procedures. A pilot version of this module, called Transit Module (MODTRS), was released for live testing in selected user countries in April 1999 and the fully operational module was included in the version 1.15 of the software and delivered to all the user countries. This module handles three transit documents, namely the Transit Form (T1), the TIR carnet and the First Identification Procedure (FIP). It is usable for all the forms of transit as defined in the Kyoto Convention covering movements from:

- Border office of entry to an inland office (import transit);
- Border office of entry to a border office of exit (through transit);
- Inland office to a border office of exit (export transit);
- Inland office to another inland office (internal transit).

46. The three documents are different and present specific features, but all apply the following principle: the system allows for data capture by the traders using the DTI module and/or by the customs officers. Upon validation of the document, a message is automatically transmitted to the office of destination using the appropriate ASYCUDA software, A ++ GATE (ASYCUDA Global Access to Trade Efficiency), through the national telecommunications network. This message informs the office of destination that cargo should arrive at a given time. When the cargo arrives at destination, the transit message is retrieved, the transaction is closed and the release message automatically broadcast to the office of departure. This functionality gives customs complete and timely information on all operations.

47. The module works satisfactorily on a nationwide scale and has recently been enhanced by the development of new functions such as re-routing (changing the office of destination). As customs receive, in advance, complete and timely information on all operations there is no need for re-keying at the office of destination — processing delays are tremendously reduced at the border thus facilitating trade. The system is technically designed for permitting future extension to cover the international transit operations (data exchange of message between countries).

(b) Advance Cargo Information Systems (ACIS)

48. ACIS is a logistics information system designed to improve transport efficiency by tracking equipment on all transport modes (rail, road, and lake/river) and at the interfaces ports and inland clearance depot and providing information in advance of cargo arrival. ACIS provides transport operators with reliable and real-time data on transport operations, such as the whereabouts of goods and transport equipment, and thus improves day-to-day management and decision-making. Benefits to the railways which are currently using ACIS Rail Tracker include:

- Comprehensive data are now available online for traffic and other managers. The data include such information as the position of wagons on the network; and the number of broken-down wagons, wagons that have been loaded for more than three days and wagons that are in workshops;
- Weekly/monthly reports for managers at various levels within railway administrations can now be generated easily. The reports include such information as weekly loading data, customer/commodity performance, average locomotive /kilometre fuel issued and train transit time;
- Customer information has drastically improved from paper-based information systems, which took 4 to 5 days to provide a customer with information about the whereabouts of the customer's wagon, to real-time information.

(c) Road traffic information systems in the United Republic of Tanzania and Zambia

49. UNCTAD is providing assistance to the United Republic of Tanzania and Zambia for implementing a Southern African Development Community (SADC) commitment on development of road traffic information systems. The requirement states that:

- Member States shall develop compatible national road traffic information systems in support of the administration and enforcement of their national and regional road traffic and road traffic quality systems;
- The road traffic information systems shall contain information in respect of, among others: vehicle registration and licensing; driver and professional driver testing facilities; traffic offences and prosecutions; and traffic incidents.

50. Furthermore, the systems adopted in each country should be able to communicate with each other to assist in law enforcement. Several countries, including Botswana, Lesotho, Malawi, Namibia, South Africa and Zimbabwe, have already introduced or are introducing computerized systems for vehicle registration and driver licensing. In general, the advantages of using computerized systems coupled with documents, which have built-in security features, include:

- Accurate and up-to-date registers;
- Considerable reduction in fraud;
- Better collection of revenues.

4. Multimodal transit and trade facilitator project in Nepal

51. UNCTAD is executing a technical assistance project for the Government of Nepal aimed at the promotion of the trade and transport sectors (Project

NEP/97/A53). This project, financed by the Government through a World Bank loan, is providing substantive support to secure the most efficient use of the construction of three inland clearance depots along the Indian border. The main activities covered by the project include the implementation of ASYCUDA and ACIS, and the establishment of a proper legal and commercial environment for efficient transport operations. In this context, advice was provided to the ongoing negotiation process between Nepal and India for the revision of a transit treaty.

52. At the time of the renewal of the Transit Treaty between the Government of Nepal and the Government of India (December 1998), the project was asked to prepare comments. Proposals were made to:

- Integrate the 1996 agreement on containerized traffic;
- Take account of changes that have taken place in the nature of transit trade;
- Reflect changes which may permit some relaxation of customs control of transit goods;
- Incorporate international recommendations concerning transit traffic;
- Having regard to the three preceding items, simplify the requirements and procedures for customs transit control.

Annex

Transit systems of landlocked and transit developing countries: recent developments and proposals for future action

Report of the UNCTAD secretariat*

Contents

		Page
	Introduction	17
I.	Transport costs, competitiveness and export performance	17
	A. Impact of high international transport costs	17
	B. Impact of inefficient and unreliable transit transport services on competitiveness and export performance	21
II.	Reducing real costs and improving the efficiency of transit transport	22
	A. Development of transport infrastructure	22
	1. Rail transit	24
	2. Road transit	24
	3. Maritime ports	25
	4. Air transport	25
	5. Telecommunications	26
	6. Pipelines	26
	7. ODA and private capital flows to infrastructure in landlocked and transit developing countries	27
	B. Overcoming non-physical barriers to enhance the efficient use of available transit transport systems	28
	1. Regulatory frameworks	28
	2. Institutional frameworks	32
	3. Deregulation, liberalization, privatization and competition	32
III.	Challenges and opportunities for further improving transit systems in landlocked and transit developing countries	33
	A. Measures at the national and regional levels	33
	1. Transit environment	33
	2. Regulatory frameworks	33
	3. Institutional arrangements	34

* Previously issued as document TD/B/LDC/AC.1/17.

4. Human capacity-building 35
5. Infrastructure development 35
B. Measures at the international level 37
Appendix 40

Introduction

1. In paragraph 11 of its resolution 54/199 of 22 December 1999, the General Assembly requested, the Secretary-General of the United Nations to convene in 2001 another meeting of governmental experts from landlocked and transit developing countries and representatives of donor countries and financial and development institutions, including relevant regional and subregional economic organizations and commissions, to review progress in the development of transit transport systems, including consideration, pursuant to General Assembly resolution 54/199, of the proposal made by the previous meeting in 1999 regarding the convening in 2003 of a ministerial meeting on transit transport issues so as to give emphasis to the problem of landlocked and transit developing countries.

2. This report looks at the challenge and opportunities for improving transit systems in landlocked and transit developing countries. It is divided into three chapters. Chapter I examines the relationship between transport costs, competitiveness and export performance, and concludes that these variables are so closely related that countries which are geographically disadvantaged, such as landlocked developing countries, experience important adverse transport costs which they must absorb in order to penetrate export markets. Chapter II reviews major developments relating to improvement of transit systems in landlocked and transit developing countries. With respect to development of physical infrastructure, the report notes the shift towards private capital in the development of infrastructure. Although for a few developing countries, the decrease in official development assistance (ODA) in the development of infrastructure has been more than compensated for by the flow of private capital, this has not been so with respect to many landlocked and transit developing countries. Private capital can play an increasingly important role in those countries if more action can be taken to promote new modalities for financing. Chapter III highlights some of these financial modalities. It urges the international community to support the development of such modalities and increased ODA in order to meet the financing requirements of landlocked developing countries.

I. Transport costs, competitiveness and export performance

A. Impact of high international transport costs

3. In the past, transport costs have been something of a backwater, hidden behind the larger commercial burden of high tariffs and non-tariff barriers. This is no longer the case, however, because while the post-Uruguay Round most-favoured-nation (MFN) tariffs for the major developed markets (United States, Canada, European Union and Japan) will be about 3.7 per cent, the average cost of transport for landlocked developing countries' exports is three times greater (approximately 14.1 per cent) and double the cost of transport for developing countries as a group (about 8.6 per cent).

4. The General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT) provisions require tariffs to be assessed on an equal MFN basis, but international transport costs for similar goods may vary markedly across countries. As indicated in table 1, while transport and insurance payments as a percentage of total exports of goods and services for

developing countries in 1995 was about 8.6 per cent, it was 14.1 per cent for landlocked developing countries and 17.2 per cent for least developed countries, whereas for the least developed countries that are also landlocked these payments amounted to 47.1 per cent. These average figures as shown in table 1 hide important differences among countries, ranging from Botswana's relatively low freight rate (under 8 per cent) to over 35 per cent for Mali.

5. In general, the level of international transport costs facing landlocked developing countries is explained by the fact that their exports incur additional costs in the country or countries of transit (customs clearance fees, road user charges etc.).[7] However, the difference in transport costs among developing countries is also due to other factors, notably the structure of exports (see appendix table B), where transport costs represent a smaller proportion of higher-value exports than of lower-value exports; and the impact of the proportion of total trade represented by regional trade, in that a larger proportion of regional trade is likely to lower the average transport costs incurred, given the shorter distances usually involved in the regional trade (see appendix table A) and the differing efficiency of the transit transport systems (see paragraphs 8 and 9).

6. Landlocked developing countries are also negatively affected by the high cost of their imports. A rough measure of the transit cost disadvantages faced by landlocked developing countries is provided by balance-of-payments statistics which show freight costs as a proportion of cost, insurance and freight (c.i.f.) import values. In 1995, freight costs were approximately 4.4 per cent of the c.i.f. import values of developed countries (see table 2). But for landlocked developing countries in West Africa they were approximately 24.6 per cent; in East Africa, approximately 16.7 per cent ; and in Latin America, approximately 14.6 per cent. The freight costs of the sample of landlocked countries exceeded the freight costs of all countries on their respective continents by between 6 and 11 percentage points.

7. The high transport cost of landlocked developing countries imports inflate the prices not only of consumer goods but also of fuel, capital goods and intermediate inputs, thereby increasing the cost of domestic agricultural and industrial production. A significant reduction in the transport cost of their imports would therefore not only increase their purchasing power but also boost their domestic production, underpinning their diversification efforts and increasing the competitiveness of their exports.

8. Research over the last 30 years has emphasized the cause-and-effect relationship between the availability of adequate transport services and the scope for trade-based development, correlating the degree of access to a functioning transport sector with the degree of participation by countries in the global trading system.[8] These empirical studies on the effect of improving transit systems on trade flows are now being complemented by econometric models which attempt to compute, for example, the elasticity of trade with respect to transport costs.

---

[7] Improvement of Transit Transport Systems in Landlocked and Transit Developing Countries: Issues for consideration. Report by the UNCTAD secretariat. TD/B/LDC/AC.1/13 (1999).

[8] Amjadi A. Reinke U. and Yeats A. Did External Barriers Cause the Marginalization of Sub-Saharan Africa in the World? World Bank Policy Research Working Paper No. 1586 (1996); Amjadi A. and Yeats A. Have Transport Costs Contributed to the Relative Decline of Sub-Saharan African Exports? World Bank Policy Research Working Paper No. 1559 (1995).

Table 1
Transportation and insurance payments as a proportion of total exports of goods and services for landlocked countries, 1997 (or latest year available)

Country	*Transportation and insurance payments ($ millions)*	*Exports of goods and services ($ millions)*	*Ratio (%)*
Afghanistan [a]	92.1	260.5	35.4
Armenia	103.1	330.2	31.2
Azerbaijan	114.7	1 150.1	10.0
Bhutan	..	..	..
Bolivia	284.4	1 413.8	20.1
Botswana	229.6	3 030.0	7.6
Burkina Faso [b]	70.4	271.9	25.9
Burundi	22.9	96.1	23.8
Central African Republic [b]	58.7	179.0	32.8
Chad [b]	98.5	190.1	51.8
Kazakhstan	391.9	7 741.2	5.1
Kyrgyzstan	104.0	675.8	15.4
Ethiopia	239.6	979.0	24.5
Lao People's Democratic Republic	50.0	424.1	11.8
Lesotho	43.3	283.0	15.3
Malawi [b]	213.7	384.8	55.5
Mali	229.0	643.6	35.6
Mongolia	73.3	621.2	11.8
Nepal	62.2	1 279.5	4.9
Niger [c]	92.5	321.4	28.8
Paraguay	472.1	4 535.0	10.4
Rwanda	69.8	144.3	48.4
Swaziland	30.4	1 084.6	2.8
Tajikistan	..	..	..
The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	175.2	1 329.7	13.2
Turkmenistan	164.8	1 045.9	15.8
Uganda	268.8	757.2	35.5
Uzbekistan	..	..	..
Zambia [d]	215.5	1 255.2	17.2
Zimbabwe [b]	379.3	2 344.3	16.2
Landlocked countries [c]	3 706.0	26 314.0	14.1
Least developed countries [c]	4 276.6	24 839.5	17.2
Developing countries [c]	109 054.6	1 268 580.9	8.6

Source: UNCTAD calculations based on IMF, Balance of Payments Statistics 2000 (CD-ROM).
Note: [a] 1989; [b] 1994; [c] 1995; [d] 1991.

Table 2
Freight and insurance as a percentage of c.i.f. import values for selected groups of countries

	1985	1990	1995	1997
1 World total	4.6	5.5	4.4	4.1
2 Developed market economy countries	3.8	4.2	3.5	3.4
3 Developing countries total: of which:	7.7	11.2	7.4	6.5
Africa	11.3	10.6	11.3	10.0
America	6.7	12.8	6.4	5.6
Asia	7.7	11.2	7.4	6.5
4 Landlocked developing countries: of which:	14.8	15.8	10.7	..
East Africa[a]	17.9	20.2	16.7	14.6
Southern Africa[b]	12.5	11.5	9.9	..
West Africa[c]	30.0	30.2	24.6	..
Latin America[d]	16.4	18.5	14.6	11.4
Europe[e]	-	-	-	8.3
Other Asia[f]	3.3	9.3	8.1	4.2
CIS countries[g]	-	-	6.0	9.6
5 Least developed countries	13.8	14.6	12.5	..

Source: UNCTAD secretariat calculations based on data from IMF, Balance of Payments Statistics 2000 (CD-ROM).

Note: Data not available for Afghanistan, Bhutan, Tajikistan and Uzbekistan.

[a] Burundi, Ethiopia, Rwanda and Uganda.

[b] Botswana, Lesotho, Malawi, Swaziland, Zambia and Zimbabwe.

[c] Burkina Faso, Central African Republic, Chad, Mali and Niger.

[d] Bolivia and Paraguay.

[e] The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia.

[f] Lao People's Democratic Republic, Mongolia and Nepal.

[g] Armenia, Azerbaijan, Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan and Turkmenistan.

9. A recent World Bank econometric study[9] looked at the determinants of transport costs for landlocked countries as compared with coastal countries. The typical landlocked country is estimated to have transport costs which are 50 per cent higher than the typical coastal country, and to have volumes of trade that are 60 per cent lower — with major consequences for the relative income of such countries. The study emphasizes the importance of infrastructure investment for reducing this handicap. It shows how transport costs depend on both geography (distance and borders) and the level and quality of transport and communications infrastructure (both the physical infrastructure and the effectiveness of its utilization). The study estimated that the elasticity of the flow of trade with respect to transport costs was large, about -3, which implies that the volume of trade would drop by some 20 per

[9] Limão N. and Venables A. Infrastructure, Geographical Disadvantage and Transport Costs. World Bank Policy Research Working Paper No. 2257 (1999).

cent if transport costs were to rise by 10 percentage points. Indeed, infrastructure improvements in landlocked countries and their transit neighbours could have impressive results — for example, if a landlocked country at the 75th percentile in the distribution of infrastructure quality among all countries sampled were to move to the 25th percentile, this would cut the transport cost penalty for being landlocked in half, and increase the volume of its trade by more than 100 per cent.

10. International trade for many landlocked and transit developing countries involves carriage by both maritime and inland transport. Inland transport (rail/road) is by far the more expensive. For landlocked developing countries facing long inland transport, such as those in Central Asia (where the minimum distance to the nearest port is 3,500 km) and Zambia, Mongolia and Chad (about 2,000 km), the cost burden is high. A comparison between ocean freight charges paid for containerized imports and inland transit costs shows the relative importance of the latter. The difference is quite significant, with land factors of between 1 and 4, suggesting that any attempt to reduce the transport costs of exports and imports of a landlocked developing country will primarily have to aim at influencing the level of costs accruing to inland transit operations.[10]

B. Impact of inefficient and unreliable transit transport services on competitiveness and export performance

11. With increased competition in major markets forcing business to adapt to just-in-time production and management systems, flexibility, speed and reliability regarding the delivery of goods have assumed significant strategic importance: flexibility, because transport logistics must be capable of adapting to variations in consumer demand and to unforeseen circumstances; speed, because the speed with which transport operations are carried out reduces the duration for tying up products — and therefore capital; and reliability, because it reduces the risks of breakdown in the supply or distribution of goods, and therefore reduces the need for safety/buffer stocks.

12. The export competitiveness of landlocked developing countries is undermined by lack of flexibility. Sometimes importers, in response to crop failure (e.g. coffee) in one part of the world offer to purchase greater quantities from other suppliers, but exporters from landlocked developing countries often miss such opportunities because of lack of transport capacity to carry additional export loads. Lack of adequate transport capacity and flexibility also affects their import strategies. In order to avoid interruption in production, they have to maintain large buffer stocks of essential inputs (up to three months), but this ties up significant capital, thereby increasing their production costs and reducing profit margins.

13. The export competitiveness of landlocked developing countries is also hampered by their inability to deliver goods on time. Exporters can earn better prices on contracts which specify "prompt shipment", while prices may be discounted if delivery is delayed. Just-in-time delivery is a concept which does not apply to manufacturers alone. It pertains to commodities as well, particularly those

[10] Improvement of Transit Transport Systems in Landlocked and Transit Developing Countries: Issues for Consideration. Report of the UNCTAD secretariat. TD/B/LDC/AC.1/13 (1999).

which undergo a degree of processing before export and are sold as inputs to manufacturing.

14. Inadequate infrastructure, poor transport organization and a proliferation of government controls in landlocked and transit developing countries make it difficult to guarantee timely delivery of goods or ensure reliability or flexibility of supply of goods. The fact that delays can occur outside the territorial boundaries of landlocked developing countries implies that these countries acting alone may not always be in a position to overcome the real obstacles.

15. Indeed, while delay can occur at any stage of the transit journey, the most notorious delays occur at the main interface or trans-shipment points, namely between maritime and inland transport, between adjoining railway networks, and on both sides of national borders. Inadequate rail/road off-take capacity in maritime ports can and does cause major delays, slowing ship turnaround times, which often triggers port demurrage charges for all port users. The slow interchange of rolling stock between railway networks not only holds up goods in transit, thereby tying up capital, but also results in poor utilization of railways assets, thus reducing their revenue incomes. Border posts are also often a major constraint on the movement of transit traffic. In a study carried out in southern Africa, it was found that a heavy truck usually required three days for clearance. The economic cost to the Southern African Development Community (SADC) region in terms of reduced truck productivity alone in 1996 was about $50 million.[11]

II. Reducing real costs and improving the efficiency of transit transport

16. Remoteness and isolation from global production and consumption networks inhibit the economic and social development of landlocked developing countries, but the negative impact of long distances to the market can be mitigated by enhancing the efficiency of their transit transport systems. The key to attaining this objective lies in taking measures geared to halting and reversing the deterioration of physical infrastructure in landlocked and transit developing countries, continuing support for policy, legislative and institutional reforms at the national and regional levels, including coordinated and collaborative human resource development, and enhancing international cooperation.

A. Development of transport infrastructure

17. Until the 1990s, physical transport facilities in landlocked and transit developing countries, as in the rest of the world, were primarily provided by the public sector. Railway services were usually under a public sector monopoly, and most countries owned and operated air and maritime national-flag carriers. The private sector generally operated trucking and bus transport, but State-owned enterprises also provided these services and generally parastatals possessed their own fleets of vehicles. Governments often played a critical role by regulating entry

[11] SADC Border Post Design, Operation and Transit Facilitation (1998).

and the prices of private sector services.[12] However, owing to financial crises, budget deficits, international adjustment and austerity programmes, the public sector has not been able to maintain appropriate levels of investment to maintain and modernize infrastructure. This situation has been aggravated by the recent decline in total concessional aid to all developing countries. Total ODA declined sharply from a peak of $61.3 billion in 1991 to a low of $48.3 billion in 1997, recovering to only $51.6 billion in 1999. Multilateral ODA declined even faster, from a peak of $18.6 billion in 1994 to a low of $13.5 billion in 1999. Bilateral ODA from the Development Assistance Committee (DAC) countries of the Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) peaked at $43.2 billion in 1991, reaching a low of $32.4 billion in 1997, and rising to $37.9 billion in 1999 (thus representing some shift from multilateral to bilateral aid). At the same time, overall ODA flows to the 30 landlocked developing countries reached its highest level in 1995 ($10.6 billion), dropping sharply thereafter to $7.6 billion in 1999.

18. The existing state of infrastructure in landlocked developing countries as illustrated in table 3 shows that across several sectors the need for investment in basic infrastructure (rail/road, transport equipment, telecommunications) is huge. Comparative assessment of the infrastructure coverage in landlocked countries indicates that in order to bridge the existing gap between these countries and the other developing countries, not to mention developed countries, significant investment outlays will be necessary.

Table 3
Overview of average infrastructure coverage in landlocked/least developed countries, developing countries and developed countries

Indicator	*Landlocked least developed countries*	*Developing countries*	*Developed countries*
Power-generating capacity (thousand kilowatts per million persons)	53	373	2 100
Telecommunications (main lines per thousand persons)	3.2	81	442
Paved roads (kilometres per million persons)	396	1 335	10 106
Water (percentage of population with access)	62	74	95
Sanitation (percentage of population with access)	42	44	95

Sources: World Bank, World Bank Report 1994; Infrastructure for Development (New York, Oxford University Press, 1994).

19. Faced with dwindling ODA, as indicated in paragraph 17 above, and bearing in mind the need to bridge the infrastructure gap (illustrated in table 3), landlocked developing countries, in keeping with global trends, have been increasingly attracted by new modes of partnership between the public and the private sectors in the development of public infrastructure, such as contracting out or management contracts, private financing of public facilities, leasing, joint ventures, build-operate-transfer (BOT) schemes and privatization or capitalization.

[12] African Transport Infrastructure, Trade and Competitiveness. Report by the UNCTAD secretariat, TD/B/46/10, (1999).

1. Rail transit

20. Railway privatization or concessioning in landlocked and transit developing countries, which began a few years ago, is gathering speed. Since 1994, when the Abidjan-Ouagadougou railway was acquired by Sitarail (a French-led consortium), similar arrangements have been implemented in Chile, Bolivia, Cameroon, Malawi, Mozambique and Brazil. In Chile and Bolivia, Chile's Cruz Blanca privatized in 1996 the State railway company, Empresa Nacional Ferrocarriles (Enfe). The national operator in Cameroon, the Régie nationale de Chemin de Fer du Cameroun (Regifercam), was privatized in 1998 and the concessionaire, CAMRAIL, a consortium of the French SAGA-Ballore and COMAZAR of South Africa, took over operations in March 1999. The State-owned Malawi railways were taken over by the Central East African Railway Company (CEARC), a consortium headed by Mozambican Railways (CFM) and a United States-based company, Railroad Development Corporation (RDC).

21. Railways in many countries are now required by Governments to observe commercial principles, which in many cases involve retrenchment of staff, closure of unprofitable lines and cessation or reduction of loss-making services, such as passenger services. Such measures have been politically difficult to carry out. Efforts to restructure railways have received support from the World Bank. For example, in November 2000, the Zambian Government secured a $27 million loan from the World Bank to replenish its assets and help to pay for redundancies.[13] The Zambian railways, as well as a number of other railways, such as the Tanzania Railway Corporation, are using restructuring as a stepping stone to privatization. But other railways are taking similar measures to improve their finances as they no longer receive subsidies from Governments.

22. Railway privatization programmes typically involve a package of management and capital investment conditions that are offered by private-sector-led consortia in return for a time-bound right of exploitation or concession of normally 10-20 years. In the case of Malawi, the new operator-investor, CEARC, agreed to invest $26 million over a 15-year period, including $4.8 in 2000 to fund the restructuring of the company's rolling stock. In Bolivia, the new operator-investor, Trenes Continentales, a subsidiary of Tennessee-Wyoming Railways (United States), which took over the Chile-Bolivia railway concession from Cruz Blanca in 2000, has expressed interest in investing up to about $1 billion in the venture.

23. There is some evidence that railway concessioning is achieving positive results. In 1998 it was reported that in the four years following the acquisition of the Abidjan-Ouagadougou railway about 322,000 tonnes of transit freight was carried — a 20 per cent increase in tonnage compared with 1994. It has also been reported that the concessionaire is continuously rehabilitating the infrastructure, improving train speeds and decreasing the number of derailments. Although the initial impression is positive, it is too early to provide a definite worldwide assessment of the result of concessioning as the exercise has just begun.

2. Road transit

24. The decline in railway traffic has increased the burden of road networks, but lack of adequate public funds to build and maintain road networks has opened the

[13] The Economist Intelligence Unit Country Report, January 2001.

door to new partnerships leading to greater participation by the private sector in the development and maintenance of roads and related infrastructure and services facilities.

25. BOT projects in landlocked and transit developing countries have been concentrated in Latin America and Asia. In Africa, examples of such projects include the Riviera-Morcory toll bridge in Abidjan, awarded in 1997 to the Ivorian subsidiary of the French engineering group Bouygues-Setas, and the construction of the Maputo-Witbank road operated by Trans African concession (TRAC). Road maintenance contracts have been another new area for extending public-private sector partnership.

26. Although there have been few BOT projects in landlocked developing countries themselves, some of the landlocked developing countries benefit from BOT facilities located in transit countries as many of these facilities are built along the major transit corridors which serve their regional and international trade.

3. *Maritime ports*

27. In efforts to increase port capacity, the private sector has been invited to build and/or manage port operations. In a number of countries, initiatives to attract the private sector involve approval of up to 100 per cent foreign equity participation in constructing ports and harbours. In Côte d'Ivoire, an Anglo-Dutch consortium led by P&O Nedlloyds was recently awarded a 30-year BOT concession to build a new terminal on the other side of the Abidjan lagoon in Locodjoro. A number of countries, including Djibouti and the United Republic of Tanzania, have opted for concessioning of all or part of their port facilities. The container terminal in Dar es Salaam, United Republic of Tanzania, was leased to a Philippine company, International Container Terminal Services Inc. (ICTS), through its local subsidiary, the Tanzania International Container Terminal Services Ltd (TICTS). TICTS promised to increase the number of containers handled at the port from 100,000 to 200,000 by end of the contract in 2010. It is paying lease fees, royalties and wharfage fees to the United Republic of Tanzania.

4. Air transport

28. Air freighting accounts for a relatively small proportion of landlocked developing countries' foreign trade, except for a few countries such as Nepal, where exports of carpets and other low-volume, high-value products transported by air are important. However, air transport is important in supporting passenger and tourism sectors in many landlocked developing countries. Despite low air traffic in many of these countries, the operating regional hub-and-spoke system ensures them access to international air services. Policy changes in recent years in many landlocked and transit developing countries, providing an opportunity to the private sector to invest in airport facilities, are expected to expand capacity and improve the operating performance of their airports.

29. With regard to national airlines, the current situation in many landlocked and transit developing countries is turbulent. Many have cut back their services severely in order to avoid serious financial losses. Regional alliances have not done well either. For example, the Alliance Airline launched in 1994 between South African Airways, Uganda Airlines and Air Tanzania fell apart in 1998. Air Afrique, the flagship airline in West Africa owned largely by West African countries, is being

restructured pending privatization. However, there is a small silver lining in the troubled skies of landlocked and transit developing countries. Many of these countries have undertaken policy, regulatory and institutional reforms in the airline subsector. A recent agreement in Yamoussoukro, Côte d'Ivoire, to liberalize skies for African airlines could provide a new departure for a better-structured and coordinated, and more efficient, air industry in Africa. Meanwhile, a number of landlocked developing countries, including Bolivia, Zambia, Burkina Faso and Mali, have privatized their national airlines. Other countries contemplating privatization have, as a first step, partially liberalized their skies to allow new private sector entrants, to compete with the established public-sector-owned national airlines.

5. Telecommunications

30. Transport and communications have entered a new stage in their relationship. The use of sophisticated telecommunication systems not only makes transport operations safer but also enables operators to run more trains or permit a greater number of aircraft landings and take-offs, making more efficient use of existing infrastructure and generating more revenue. The advent of electronic data interchange, enabling documents such as customs declarations to be transmitted in advance of arrivals (by ship, train, aircraft etc.), has facilitated forward planning by transport operators, port authorities, customs and other agencies, leading to fast customs clearance of goods and to improving transit times of ships and other means of transport, thereby reducing the overall cost of international trade.

31. Lack of adequate public investment funds and the need to attract management expertise and new technologies have opened the door to private sector investment in many landlocked and transit developing countries. In Bolivia in 1995, Telecom Italia won a six-year monopoly on long-distance and international calls until November 2001 in exchange for a commitment to invest $610 million, of which $458 million was planned to be invested by the end of 1999. Local telephone services in all urban areas are now provided by 18 local cooperatives, the largest of which are Cotel (La Paz), Costas (Santa Cruz) and Comtel (Cochabamba). The launch of the GSM cellular system by Nuevatel in November 2000 prompted the State-owned Empresa Nacional de Telecomunicaciones (Entel) to do the same the following month in order to maintain its market share. Concessions for mobile telephone networks have been granted in many other countries, including Brazil, Malawi, the United Republic of Tanzania, Côte d'Ivoire, Niger and India. The Detecom-MSI consortium bought a 35 per cent share in the Tanzania Telecommunication Company for $120 million. The consortium is committed to increasing the number of telephone connections from the present 162,000 to 800,000 by 2004.

6. Pipelines

32. Pipelines provide the most cost-effective means of transport for both crude oil and its finished products. Because the development of pipelines requires substantial capital investment, the role of the private sector has been on the increase. To give an idea of the magnitude of capital investment, the pipeline from Tenghiz field in Kazakhstan to Novorossysk (Russian Federation) on the Black Sea is expected to cost the International Caspian Pipeline Consortium $2.2 billion to build. Pipelines are not only important for oil-exporting countries, but also advantageous for importing countries because they are the least expensive mode of transport for crude as well as finished products over long distances. A number of landlocked developing

countries, such as Zimbabwe and Zambia, have been operating pipelines for the import of oil products for many years. Recently, Kenya agreed to extend its pipeline to Uganda.

7. ODA and private capital flows to infrastructure in landlocked and transit developing countries

33. Private capital flows have largely been concentrated in a handful of developing countries. About 80 per cent of such flows in the 1990s went to six countries: Brazil, Argentina, Mexico, the Republic of Korea, Hungary and Malaysia. Brazil alone received almost a third of the total. Although a number of landlocked developing countries succeeded in attracting some degree of private investment, overall less than 1 per cent of private capital flowed to this group of countries. Consequently, ODA remains the dominant source of infrastructure finance for landlocked and least developed countries, representing a total of more than $35 billion over the decade compared with less than $5 billion of private capital flows (figure 1). However, the relative importance of private finance to landlocked and least developed countries unquestionably increased throughout the decade. The ratio of private capital to ODA grew from 10 per cent in 1990 to 50 per cent in 1997, falling back to 20 per cent in 1999.

Figure 1. ODA and private capital flows to infrastructure in LDCs



Sources: World Bank PPI Database (2001); OECD IDS Database (2001)

34. Private capital is also highly concentrated at the sectoral level, flowing primarily to telecommunications and energy. This is essentially a reflection of the greater difficulties encountered in applying the new infrastructure paradigm to the transport and water sectors. Overall, 43 per cent of private capital flows went to the information and communication technology (ICT) sector, 32 per cent to the energy sector, 19 per cent to the transport sector and only 5 per cent to water and sanitation (WSS) (figure 2). The relative importance of ODA in total sector financing is inversely related to the size of private capital flows to each sector. However, in absolute terms, sectors such as energy and transport have each received a higher amount of ODA than the water and sanitation sector, even though they have succeeded in attracting a substantially higher volume of private investment. The sectoral pattern of private capital flows is similar in the landlocked and least

developed countries (figure 3). However, what is particularly striking is that in the landlocked and least developed countries, the ICT sector captures only 10 per cent of total external finance, compared with 45 per cent in developing countries as a whole.



Sources: World Bank PPI database (2001); OECD IDS Databank (2001).



Sources: World Bank PPI database; OECD IDS Databank (2001).

B. Overcoming non-physical barriers to enhance the efficient use of available transit transport systems

1. Regulatory frameworks

35. Even as many Governments retreat from commercial transport operations, they still retain the critical role of financing physical transport infrastructure, maintaining and managing such infrastructure, as well as formulating and enforcing transport regulations. International conventions, and regional, subregional and bilateral agreements, ratified by Governments are the main vehicles through which harmonization, simplification and standardization of rules and documentation can be

achieved. Action at the industry level, for example the conclusion of inter-railway agreements, and implementation of informal arrangements, including regular consultations and exchange of information between customs administrations, are also very important.

36. Several international conventions provide a valuable basis for tackling various problems related to transit traffic operations. The Central Asian countries have done a commendable job in complying with ESCAP resolution 48/11 of 23 April 1992, which recommends that its members make efforts to accede to basic conventions (see table 4). This report uses the example of one of the international conventions — the Customs Convention on the International Transport of Goods under Cover of TIR Carnets, 1975 (the TIR Convention) — to illustrate the transit transport facilitation effect of transport and transit conventions.

Table 4
Accession to international conventions by the Central Asian countries and their transit neighbours, as at February 2000

State	*CMR*[a]	*TIR*[b]	*Containers*	*Harmonization frontiers*	*Road traffic*	*Road signs*	*ADR*[c]	*ATP*[d]	*AETR*[e]	*AGR*[f]	*TEMP*[g]
Armenia		X		X							
Azerbaijan	X								X	X	
China			X								
Georgia	X	X	X	X	X			X			
Iran, Islamic Republic of	X	X			X	X					
Kazakhstan	X	X			X	X			X	X	
Kyrgyzstan	X	X		X		X					X
Mongolia					X						
Russian Federation	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	
Tajikistan	X	X			X	X					
Turkey	X	X	X							X	
Turkmenistan	X				X	X			X		
Uzbekistan	X	X	X	X	X	X		X	X		X

Source: Economic Commission for Europe.

[a] Convention on the Contract for the International Carriage of Goods by Road, 1956.

[b] Customs Convention on the International Transport of Goods under Cover of TIR Carnets (TIR Convention), 1975.

[c] European Agreement concerning the International Carriage of Dangerous Goods by Road, 1957.

[d] Agreement on the International Carriage of Perishable Foodstuffs and on the Special Equipment to be used for Such Carriage, 1970.

[e] European Agreement concerning the Work of Crews of Vehicles engaged in International Road Transport, 1970.

[f] European Agreement on Main International Traffic Arteries, 1975.

[g] Customs Convention on the Temporary Importation of Commercial Road Vehicles,1956.

37. The scope of the TIR Convention of 1975, which replaced an earlier version adopted in 1973, had initially been restricted to the carriage of goods by road, but

the current version covers other modes of transport (air, rail and multimodal transport), provided that part of the journey is by road. The TIR Convention enables a vehicle or container covered by a specific customs document, the TIR carnet, to journey from its point of departure to its point of destination without undergoing any customs examination when crossing intermediate frontiers.

38. TIR carnets are issued by the secretariat of the International Road Transport Union (IRU) in Geneva and distributed to national associations representing IRU in the countries which are contracting parties to the Convention. To obtain a TIR carnet, the carrier must belong to a national association which can furnish professional and economic guarantees and which is authorized to issue carnets. Moreover, the vehicles and containers used must fulfil technical and other conditions laid down in the Convention.

39. Each association stands guarantor, under an agreement with the customs authorities, for the duties and taxes payable on goods carried under cover of the TIR carnets issued by it, or by any other member of the international chain of guaranteeing associations. Similarly, the national guaranteeing association must sign an undertaking with IRU, regulating the reciprocal rights and liabilities implicit in the operation of the TIR system.

40. To guarantee fully the payment amounts claimed on account of irregularities in a TIR operation, IRU has negotiated a surety bond with a pool of international insurance companies.

41. The pillars of the TIR transit system are that: (a) goods should travel in secure vehicles or containers; (b) duties and taxes at risk should be covered by an internationally valid guarantee throughout the journey; (c) the goods should be accompanied by an internationally accepted carnet taken into use in the country of departure and accepted in the countries of transit and destination; and (d) customs control measures taken in the country of departure should be accepted by the countries of transit and destination.

42. Many of the landlocked and developing countries in Central Asia have acceded to the TIR Convention.[14] It offers advantages to customs administrations, national economies and the transport industry. It avoids the need (expensive in terms of manpower and facilities) for the physical inspection of goods in the countries of transit other than the checking of seals and the external condition of the vehicle or container, and processing the regional customs transit document. The use of a regional customs transit system could do away with temporary importation procedures for vehicles or the container, as such procedures could be included in that system. It abolishes the operation of national guarantees and national systems of documentation, since these are provided in a regionally recognized customs document and in a regional guarantee chain which ensures that all duties and taxes are covered at all times either by the transport operator or by a national guarantee organization. The advantages to commerce and to transport operators are quite clear: regional and international trade is facilitated by the fact that goods travel across national frontiers with minimum interference by customs administrations. By reducing delays in transit, the system promotes quicker turnaround times, enabling vehicle fleets to generate higher revenues.

[14] Armenia, Islamic Republic of Iran, Kazakhstan, Russian Federation, Tajikistan, Turkey and Uzbekistan.

43. In both West Africa and Southern and East Africa initial steps have been taken to implement regional customs transit regimes. In West Africa the TRIE (Transport Routier Inter-Etats) Convention, although ratified in 1982, has not been operational; similarly, there are still many obstacles facing current efforts to implement the COMESA/SADC Customs Document and the Regional Customs Bond Guarantee (RCBG) system in Southern and East Africa. The operation of regional customs transit regimes is crucial for transit facilitation in many regions. But their early implementation requires financial and technical support from the international community.

44. Success has been recorded in a number of other areas of transit facilitation, including third-party motor insurance schemes, harmonization of axle load limits and road transit charges. In Southern and East Africa, for example, the benefits from harmonized axle load limits are as follows: (i) transport operators are able to load their trucks with the maximum payload; (ii) harmonized axle load limits facilitate uniform axle load enforcement; (iii) these limits also facilitate exchange of information on overloading and on habitual violators of axle load limits; and (iv) there is uniform application of fines for overloading and excessive gross vehicle weights, based on an agreed pavement damage formula.

45. The benefits of the COMESA carrier licence in Southern and East Africa include the following: (i) there is automatic entry into the regional transport market for road hauliers in possession of the COMESA carrier licence; (ii) the COMESA carrier licence is paid for in local currency in the country of vehicle registration, and hence there are foreign exchange savings; (iii) a carrier licence valid for 12 months provides stability and predictability in the road transport service industry; (iv) the liberalization of the regional trucking industry has resulted in competitive freight rates as a result of the abolition of trucking monopolies and quotas that were characteristic of the heyday of road services permits; and (v) there is improved use and productivity of trucks through the avoidance of non-use as a result of delays by authorities in other countries in issuing road services permits.

46. In Latin America members of the Latin American Southern Common Market (MERCOSUR)[15] have committed themselves to applying common transit procedures contained in the number of agreements. These agreements include: (i) Acuerdo de alcance parcial sobre transporte internacional terrestre en los paises del MERCOSUR (Agreement on International Road Transport within MERCOSUR Countries), which introduces internationally recognized principles of road transportation; (ii) Principios generales para el acceso a la profesión de transportista y su ejercicio en el ámbito del MERCOSUR (General Principles for Access to the Profession of International Transporter, and Their Operation within MERCOSUR Countries), which comprise regulatory measures to guarantee goods transport through MERCOSUR Countries; (iii) Acuerdo sobre reglamentación basica unificada de Transito) Agreement on Basic Unified Regulations for Transit, which sets out uniform transit rules for transporters; and (iv) Acuerdo de alcance parcial para la facilitacion del transporte multimodal de mercancias del MERCOSUR (Agreement on MERCOSUR which introduces Multimodal Transport Facilitation), trade facilitation concepts to facilitate border crossing.

[15] Argentina, Brazil, Paraguay and Uruguay.

2. Institutional frameworks

47. Transit transport management requires effective national and intergovernmental support arrangements. To deal effectively with the cross-sectoral issues involved in transit transport, many landlocked and transit developing countries have intensified their inter-ministerial consultative process. UNCTAD has helped developing countries to strengthen their institutional frameworks on the basis of the concept of the National Trade and Transport Facilitation Committee (NTTFC). Such a committee brings together representatives of all public and private parties concerned with international trade and transport facilitation in a country: governmental entities, services providers and transport users. Established as a consultative body, an NTTC serves as a national forum for the creation of formalities, procedures and documentation used in international transport and trade, its mandate being to prepare the development of trade and transport through proposals to the institutions concerned and to the executive branch of the Government.

48. To be effective, national and intergovernmental arrangements must be able to respond to the changing needs of transport and trade. In this context and as discussed in paragraphs 57 and 58 below, new modalities and institutional structures for transit are emerging in a number of landlocked and transit developing countries in response to the expanding role of the private sector in transit service delivery.

3. Deregulation, liberalization, privatization and competition

49. Deregulation, liberalization, privatization and competition are among the new instruments being pursued in efforts to reduce real costs and improve the efficiency of transit transport. Reforms affecting all modes of transport are under way. However, the pace of implementation varies a great deal from one region to another and among countries within the same region.

50. Policies which enable all transport modes to compete fairly in a commercial environment for national and transit traffic are playing an important role in promoting cost-effective transport services and strengthening transit systems. A typical example of where deregulation has affected the transport sector relates to the withdrawal of protection for railways, which has triggered fierce competition from road transport. However, deregulation without accompanying measures, such as the establishment of professional bodies (haulier associations) to set professional standards and maintain discipline among members, could create a vacuum which could be exploited by unscrupulous operators engaging in illicit or illegal practices.

51. Privatization is also having a major impact on the transport sector. Different measures, ranging from the granting of greater management autonomy to public corporations, such as railways, and the lease of public assets, to the signing of management contracts and a complete change of ownership, have been tried with varying degrees of success. Greater railway autonomy, for example, has allowed management to streamline operations, reduce labour costs and conclude mutually beneficial inter-railway arrangements. Among the measures that have had the most positive impact for transit transport is the operation of block trains across borders. Other measures to improve railway operations have included concessioning or privatization of certain services, such as catering and maintenance.

III. Challenges and opportunities for further improving transit systems in landlocked and transit developing countries

A. Measures at the national and regional levels

1. Transit environment

52. An effective strategy to improve transit systems requires, first and foremost, action at the subregional level because cooperation between landlocked countries and their transit neighbours is pivotal for the effective solutions of transit problems. This cooperation must be promoted on the basis of the mutual interest of both landlocked and transit countries. Arrangements for regular review and monitoring of the implementation of transit agreements and for public and private sector dialogue and consultation must be established or reinforced.

53. Action to improve transit systems should have as its objective the development of efficient, flexible and well-managed transit systems geared to meeting the needs of exporters and importers from landlocked countries. It should not simply focus on cargo movement per se, but on the whole set of operations required in order to facilitate transit as a critical link in the international trade logistics of enterprises in landlocked countries. It should be targeted at corridor-specific and subregional-specific problem solving.

54. Transit traffic and crew operating between two or more countries face a broad range of challenges. Crew must cope with foreign languages, comply with different transit formalities and endure difficult working conditions, including long delays when crossing national borders. Landlocked and transit developing countries should do their utmost to improve the transit environment, especially with regard to security en route and provision of basic amenities such as accommodation and restaurants at national borders, where traffic is often held up for many hours or even days. Indeed, some transit corridors still suffer from frequent roadblocks where informal payments are not uncommon, adding substantially to total transport costs.[16]

55. More effort is required in order to facilitate transit traffic through border posts. Efforts should be made to ensure that all control agents at the border — customs, immigration, health, veterinary and phytosanitary — coordinate their services to avoid unnecessary delays to transit traffic. Efforts should also be made to provide basic facilities, such as telephone, photocopiers and basic medical facilities. Opening hours on both sides of the border should be harmonized and where traffic density is high, consideration should be given to 24-hour service. Landlocked and transit developing countries are encouraged to establish one-stop border posts.

2. Regulatory frameworks

56. Many landlocked and transit developing countries have undertaken wide-ranging measures to modernize their legislation in order to provide an enabling environment for efficient transit operation and to attract private sector management expertise and capital. In this connection, the subregional and regional initiatives under the transport and transit facilitation instruments discussed in chapter II above have been part of this reform process. The international community has played an

[16] Evlo K. (UNCTAD consultant). Review of Progress in the Development of Transit Transport Systems in West and Central Africa (1999).

important role in supporting the normative law reform processes (drafting of laws), but greater financial and technical assistance would be required to help landlocked and transit developing countries to effectively implement their bilateral and regional agreements and arrangements.

3. Institutional arrangements

57. Many landlocked and transit developing countries have accepted that the changing structure of transit services calls for new institutional arrangements. At the national level, three distinct but complementary institutional structures are emerging to reflect the expanding role of the private sector as the main provider of transport and related commercial services. First, as the public sector retreats from commercial services, allowing the private sector to take the leading role, the public sector is assuming the role of regulator to ensure fair competition among service providers, protecting the consumer against monopoly abuse and enforcing quality and safety standards to protect the consumer. Second, on the other hand, the private sector is organizing itself through the establishment of professional bodies and associations. This is in keeping with the belief that effective private sector representation and participation in decision-making require structures and organization. Third, in the light of this evolution, many landlocked and transit developing countries have initiated public-private dialogue and consultations. Many of these arrangements are still ad hoc in nature, but in some countries (Uganda, Ghana and the United Republic of Tanzania) the emerging public-private sector partnership has been institutionalized, and consultations are being held regularly. Indeed, there has also been a proliferation of joint government and private sector seminars in a number of countries focusing on information dissemination, brainstorming and review of policy implementation. Some countries (in the SADC region) have reached agreement on creating public-private joint committees in government line ministries to review policy implementation within agreed time frames.

58. At the regional level three elements have been critical in energizing intergovernmental agreements and arrangements: (a) political and financial commitment by signatory Governments to follow through on agreed commitments; (b) availability of government officials vested with technical capacity which enables them to formulate appropriate instruments and monitor and review progress in their implementation; and (c) where the agreements involve many countries and a wide range of commitments, establishment of a permanent secretariat to coordinate implementation of such instruments.

59. Some intergovernmental agreements, for example the Protocol on Transport, Communication and Meteorology in the SADC region, provides for the participation of the private sector in intergovernmental machinery. Article 3.5 of the Protocol stipulates that "Member States shall promote the establishment of crossborder multimodal Corridor Planning Committees comprising of public and private sector stakeholders in the Member State or States whose territory or territories are traversed by such corridors". Many landlocked and transit developing countries still need to do more to strengthen their institutional frameworks. The international community should provide financial and technical support to help them.

4. Human capacity-building

60. The changing role of the public and private sectors discussed above requires improvement of the skills of those involved in policy-making as well as of those responsible for day-to-day operations through training, including continuing education for existing professionals. Increasing (quality/quantity) of primary and secondary education in many landlocked and transit developing countries is fundamental for building absorptive capacity for investment and implementation of reforms. Shortage of skilled labour is a major bottleneck with regard to attracting and benefiting from foreign direct investment (FDI). The private sector, including foreign investment, can and should contribute to the upgrading of professional skills in landlocked and transit developing countries. It can provide efficient supply chain management, and there is the ripple effect of technology diffusion. But for the private sector to participate fully, a climate supportive of long-term commitment must be apparent in terms of policy frameworks, attitude and practice.

61. Regional transit transport cooperation involving the adoption of common rules and technical standards requires Governments and private transit operators to introduce many new policy measures and actions designed to implement the various commitments. This requires improvements in the knowledge and skills of those involved in policy-making and implementation of new systems. Some of the training can be done through national and/or regional workshops, but specialized training requires proper vocational training. Landlocked and transit countries are encouraged to pool resources to establish regional training institutes, existing examples of which are the Eastern and Southern African Management Institute (ESAMI) in Arusha, United Republic of Tanzania, and Bandari College in Mombasa, Kenya.

5. Infrastructure development

62. As noted in chapter II above, private capital flows have largely been concentrated in a handful of developing countries. This is mainly due to the small size of markets in individual countries — a handicap which could be overcome by promoting regional projects. Regional cooperation can help to overcome the problem of the market size. Indeed, it is no surprise that many of the railway concessions that have been granted in Africa (Burkina Faso-Côte d'Ivoire and Malawi-Mozambique Railways) involve more than one country.

63. Another modality for regional cooperation relates to the corridor development concept. This addresses the basic problem facing infrastructure in countries with low income levels — the chicken-and-egg problem. On the one hand, infrastructure investment is not viable until economic activity justifies it; that is, transport is a derived demand. On the other hand, economic activity cannot emerge unless there are adequate transport facilities; also, its development is impeded by high costs until traffic flows increase to levels where economies of scale can be achieved and competition becomes more effective.

64. The corridor development approach addresses this issue by seeking to concentrate viable industrial investment projects within selected corridors connecting inland production areas to ports at the same time as infrastructure investment takes place. The synchronous development of directly productive activity and infrastructure ensures a revenue stream which makes the infrastructure investment attractive to private business. At the same time, infrastructure investments attract economic activity and help to promote the agglomeration

process. Government policy aims to attract "anchor investments" which ensure the basic viability of infrastructure and then to seek to attract other investment. Special attention is paid to small and medium-sized enterprises in this process, which is called "densification".[17]

65. The corridor development concept has been very successful in the case of the Maputo Corridor. Other development corridors in the SADC region include the Beira development corridor between Mozambique and Zimbabwe and the Mtwara development corridor in the United Republic of Tanzania, which is intended to serve Zambia, Malawi, northern Mozambique and the southern part of the United Republic of Tanzania (for further discussion, see box below).

An overview of the Southern African Regional

Development Corridors and Spatial Development Initiatives

1. Background

The Spatial Development Initiatives (SDI) programme was initiated 5 years ago by the Governments of Mozambique and South Africa. The initiation of the programme was made possible by the tremendous political changes that took place in the region during the first part of the 1990s.

With the coming to power of a democratic government in South Africa, and with generally improved prospects for "peace" in the region, the member countries of the Southern African region were finally in a position to collaboratively address the region's socio-economic challenges, and specifically to generate regional development programmes and strategies in support of sustainable long-term economic growth and employment creation.

Within this context, the rehabilitation and enhancement of the regional transport corridors (that had existed for many decades) became priority focus areas. The successful implementation of the Maputo Development Corridor SDI served to boost support within SADC for the concept of multi-sectoral economic development corridors (as opposed to purely transportation-based corridors), and for the planning and investor mobilization approach embodied in SDIs. The key principles, objectives and strategies embodied in the SDI approach have become far more widely used in the design and implementation of all the development corridors (whether formally part of the SDI programme or not).

2. Generic development strategies

Firstly, to re-establish the road, rail, port, telecommunications and port-side infrastructures that were fundamental to the efficient and effective functioning of each of the corridors as transportation routes and as economic development corridors.

Secondly, to mobilize private sector investors and operators to partner the public sector in the rehabilitation, operation and maintenance of the infrastructure.

[17] African Transport Infrastructure, Trade and Competitiveness, op. cit.

Thirdly, to identify economic development opportunities that could be sustainably developed (economically, financial, and environmentally) as a means of increasing the development momentum in and around the development corridor.

Fourthly, to identify development opportunities that are suited to private sector development and operation, and to develop detailed strategies to identify and mobilize such investors.

Fifthly, to promote the variety of extractive industries active and/or potentially active in the area, ensuring that the local populations benefit.

Sixthly, to identify and support opportunities for the local beneficiation of resources derived from the extractive industries and activities as a basis for generating value added exports.

Seventhly, wherever possible to identify opportunities for the establishment of viable and sustainable partnerships between foreign investors, the local private sector in each country, and local communities.

Eighthly, to enhance the level of linkages between the main towns and provinces located astride the various development corridors.

Ninthly, to promote the establishment of an enabling/investment environment within which the local, regional and international private sector could utilize these investment opportunities.

Source: Changing Transport for Growth and Integration in Southern Africa.

66. Facilitating transit traffic through border posts is also a key area for regional cooperation. In the SADC region agreement has been reached on establishing "one-stop border posts" to be financed and/or managed by the private sector. The advantages of these include reducing the time truckers and travellers spend at border posts. Border control is carried out more efficiently as government officials from both countries work together and share information and infrastructure resources.

B. Measures at the international level

67. During the 1990s overall ODA flows to infrastructure projects in developing countries fell by half, largely in response to the dramatic increase in private flows. In a few large developing countries, and particularly for the telecommunication and energy sectors, private capital flows more than compensated for loss in ODA, but for the majority of landlocked and transit developing countries the decline in ODA was not offset by private capital flows. For these countries, a substantial deficit thus persists, particularly when projected population growth and accelerating urbanization are taken into account. In these countries, a substantial increase in investment is required even just to maintain the status quo. The World Bank has estimated that Africa alone needs $18 billion to be invested in infrastructure on an annual basis. There is therefore a need to reverse the decline in external finance, especially ODA. In this context, donor countries and financial and development institutions are invited to give priority to projects and programmes which adopt subregional/corridor development and spatial development initiatives.

68. Because purely private financing schemes may not be feasible in many landlocked and transit developing countries, the international community is invited to support new modalities of financing, such as :

- Regional venture funds — the idea of regional venture funds is to use grants from multilateral organizations to pay development and management fees for selected countries or projects and to assist in promoting interest in more risky infrastructure projects by reducing development risks;
- Equity participation in local financial institutions — a foreign institution purchases shares in a selected bank that lends to small infrastructure projects;
- Co-financing — this involves parallel loans to an infrastructure project by a multilateral financial institution and the local bank;
- Bank-to-bank loans — the foreign institution makes a long-term loan to a local bank for forward lending to small infrastructure projects.

69. The multilateral and bilateral institutions should continue to act as guarantors with respect to traditional and non-traditional political risk and as major providers of concessional funds and soft loans either directly or through local banks. Such multilateral loans, coupled with private lending, will make it possible to keep the price of public services affordable for both public and local enterprises. Investment guarantees offer an increasingly popular means of inducing private investors to enter into comparatively risky environments. The Multilateral Investment Guarantee Agency (MIGA) issued $1.605 million of guarantees in the year 2000, up from $132 million in 1990. On average, every $1 of MIGA guarantee supports $5 of private investment. Almost a third of MIGA's portfolio is in the infrastructure sectors, and about 12 per cent of the total is allocated to least developed countries. In a recent survey of investors, 73 per cent reported that the MIGA guarantee had played a critical role in facilitating the investment project.

70. The international community should continue to support policy, legislative, regulatory and institutional reforms at the national and regional levels, establishing autonomous accountable transport authorities, strengthening private sector associations and promoting modalities for fostering effective dialogue between the public and private sectors.

71. The international community can be an effective partner in improving public and private sector performance. Development partners should encourage FDI to contribute to the upgrading of the professional and managerial skills of the private sector in landlocked and transit developing countries. ODA to support public sector capacity building would play an important role in enhancing good governance and economic development and in maintaining peace and stability, without which business cannot succeed.

72. In view of the increasing importance of information technologies, telecommunications and containerization in efficient international trade/transport operations, the donor community should give special attention to projects along the transit corridors in these areas.

73. UNCTAD should continue to provide technical assistance to support landlocked and transit developing countries in their efforts to improve transit systems, and will thus make its contribution in the various areas mentioned above. This assistance includes:

(a) Accumulating, evaluating and disseminating information on transit matters, and drawing lessons from experiences in different regions and subregions with regard to the design and improvement of transit systems;

(b) Carrying out transit-related studies which help decision makers, particularly with a view to identifying critical bottlenecks which could be removed quickly and at minimum cost;

(c) Organizing training programmes tailored to the needs of transit policy makers, managers and operators;

(d) Monitoring progress in the implementation of measures by the international community related to the transit needs and problems of landlocked developing countries;

(e) Formulating measures to be adopted at the national, subregional and international levels to improve transit systems in the light of changing economic and political environments.

Appendix

Table A

Intraregional trade of landlocked developing countries, 1998 and 1999: proportion of total exports and imports whose destination or source are countries of the same region or continent

(Percentages)

	Exports		*Imports*	
Country	*1998*	*1999*	*1998*	*1999*
Afghanistan	36.0	54.9	56.1	55.8
Armenia	33.8	24.5	26.1	25.5
Azerbaijan	48.8	22.7	40.0	32.6
Bolivia	44.4	37.7	35.1	46.4
Burkina Faso	8.4	13.8	27.7	30.6
Burundi	2.8	2.0	17.6	19.7
Central African Republic	2.3	2.0	17.2	17.9
Chad	5.1	6.0	31.8	34.3
Ethiopia	9.2	14.5	2.7	2.4
Kazakhstan	17.2	27.0	14.6	24.7
Kyrgyzstan	33.0	34.0	44.1	41.4
Lao People's Democratic Republic	5.8	21.5	84.9	86.9
Malawi	9.3	5.4	21.6	21.7
Mali	8.4	8.1	23.9	24.2
Mongolia	40.9	53.9	27.1	35.9
Nepal	36.5	31.4	79.4	73.7
Niger	31.9	32.8	28.3	33.2
Paraguay	63.6	65.9	52.4	54.6
Rwanda	2.2	4.1	24.2	24.9
Tajikistan	30.0	32.2	48.3	60.8
The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	8.8	8.6	11.5	12.7
Turkmenistan	59.2	23.7	47.7	46.1
Uganda	2.3	2.2	38.5	41.5
Uzbekistan	40.9	45.9	38.4	40.4
Zambia	13.2	14.4	17.2	12.5
Zimbabwe	21.7	18.2	5.6	5.7

Source: IMF, Direction of Trade Statistics, CD-ROM (April 2001).
Note: Data are not available for Bhutan, Botswana, Lesotho and Swaziland.

Table B
Export structure of landlocked developing countries ranked by average 1997–1998 value

Country	*Three leading commodities*	*As percentage of total exports*
Afghanistan	057 Fruit and nuts, fresh or dried	18.4
	268 Wool and other animal hair excl. wool tops	10.8
	247 Other wood in the rough or roughly squared	7.9
Armenia	667 Pearls, precious and semi-precious stones	21.4
	288 Non-ferrous base metal waste and scrap n.e.s.	11.8
	112 Alcoholic beverages	8.8
Azerbaijan	334 Petroleum products, refined	30.0
	263 Cotton	14.4
	333 Crude petroleum	11.1
Bhutan	523 Other inorganic chemicals	21.3
	634 Veneers, plywood, improved or reconstituted wood	19.6
	057 Fruit and nuts, fresh or dried	17.2
Bolivia	287 Ores and concentrates of base metal n.e.s.	15.6
	081 Feeding stuff for animals	8.6
	971 Gold, non-monetary n.e.s.	8.6
Botswana	No data available	
Burkina Faso	263 Cotton	72.0
	971 Gold, non-monetary n.e.s.	6.7
	611 Leather	6.4
Burundi	071 Coffee and coffee substitutes	82.1
	074 Tea and mate	12.0
	263 Cotton	1.9
Central African Republic	667 Pearls, precious and semi-precious stones	34.5
	277 Natural abrasives n.e.s.	25.6
	263 Cotton	19.4
Chad	263 Cotton	87.2
	292 Crude vegetables materials n.e.s.	8.2
	792 Aircraft and associated equipment and parts	1.9
Ethiopia	071 Coffee and coffee substitutes	67.7
	611 Leather	7.1
	222 Seeds for soft fixed oils	4.9
Kazakhstan	333 Crude petroleum	30.5
	682 Copper	10.4
	674 Universals, plates and sheets, of iron or steel	6.3

Country	*Three leading commodities*	*As percentage of total exports*
Kyrgyzstan	351 Electric current	14.5
	061 Sugar and honey	11.2
	263 Cotton	6.8
Lao People's Democratic Republic	248 Wood, simply worked	18.1
	845 Outergarments and other articles, knitted	12.4
	071 Coffee and coffee substitutes	10.7
Lesotho	No data available	
Malawi	121 Tobacco unmanufactured; tobacco refuse	64.4
	074 Tea and mate	8.3
	061 Sugar and honey	4.6
Mali	263 Cotton	86.9
	611 Leather	1.3
	667 Pearls, precious and semi-precious stones	1.1
Mongolia	287 Ores and concentrates of base metal n.e.s.	24.7
	334 Petroleum products, refined	11.1
	268 Wool and other animal hair excl. wool tops	8.8
Nepal	659 Floor coverings etc.	42.0
	842 Men's outerwear, unknitted	16.5
	931 Special transactions and commodities, not classified to kind	8.8
Niger	333 Petroleum oils crude and crude oils obtained from bituminous minerals	47.5
	524 Radioactive and associated materials	33.2
	541 Medicinal and pharmaceutical products	6.6
Paraguay	222 Oil-seeds and oleaginous fruit, excl. flours and meals	43.5
	081 Feeding stuff for animals	9.4
	263 Cotton	6.5
Rwanda	071 Coffee and coffee substitutes	37.6
	971 Gold, non-monetary n.e.s.	34.4
	074 Tea and mate	8.9
Swaziland	No data available	
Tajikistan	263 Cotton	40.7
	684 Aluminium	17.2
	057 Fruit and nuts, fresh or dried	9.4
The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	843 Outergarments, women's, of textile fabrics	9.6
	674 Universals, plates and sheets, of iron or steel	7.7
	844 Undergarments of textile fabrics	6.6
Turkmenistan	263 Cotton	25.9
	334 Petroleum products, refined	19.9
	341 Gas, natural and manufactured	18.6

Country	*Three leading commodities*	*As percentage of total exports*
Uganda	071 Coffee and coffee substitutes	73.2
	034 Fish, fresh, chilled or frozen	8.9
	263 Cotton	2.9
Uzbekistan	263 Cotton	51.3
	971 Gold, non-monetary	5.0
	341 Gas, natural and manufactured	4.7
Zambia	682 Copper	70.8
	689 Miscellaneous non-ferrous base metals	7.7
	651 Textile yarn	3.6
Zimbabwe	121 Tobacco, unmanufactured; tobacco refuse	26.7
	671 Pig iron, sponge iron, iron or steel	8.3
	263 Cotton	6.6

Source: UNCTAD secretariat calculations based on United Nations Statistical Division data and UNCTAD Handbook of Statistics, 2000.